

Extensions of nilpotent Lie algebras of type 2

Pilar Benito¹

Universidad de La Rioja

(joint work with Daniel de-La-Concepción)

3rd Mile High Conference on Nonassociative Mathematics
Denver, August 2013

¹Supported by the Spanish Government project MTM2010-18370-C04-03

Index

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Free nilpotent algebras
 - Standard terminology and definition
 - Main features
- 3 Free nilpotent of type 2
 - Two main results
 - Derivations and automorphisms $t=1,2,3$
 - Rudin-Wintertitz and Ancochea et al. classifications revisited

Index

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Free nilpotent algebras
 - Standard terminology and definition
 - Main features
- 3 Free nilpotent of type 2
 - Two main results
 - Derivations and automorphisms $t=1,2,3$
 - Rudin-Wintertitz and Ancochea et al. classifications revisited

Index

- 1 Introduction
- 2 Free nilpotent algebras
 - Standard terminology and definition
 - Main features
- 3 Free nilpotent of type 2
 - Two main results
 - Derivations and automorphisms $t=1,2,3$
 - Rudin-Wintertitz and Ancochea et al. classifications revisited

Context

Levi's theorem (E. E. Levi, 1905)

Any finite dimensional Lie algebra of characteristic zero splits as sum of its solvable radical and a semisimple algebra.

So, given \mathfrak{g} Lie and τ its solvable radical, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \tau$ with \mathfrak{s} s/s.
We note that:

- \mathfrak{s} is called **Levi subalgebra** of \mathfrak{g} ;
- τ is an \mathfrak{s} -**módulo** via the adjoint representation, ad_τ ;
in fact, $\text{ad}_\tau \mathfrak{s}$ is a **subalgebra of $\text{Der } \tau$** , Lie algebra of derivations of τ .

Context

Levi's theorem (E. E. Levi, 1905)

Any finite dimensional Lie algebra of characteristic zero splits as sum of its solvable radical and a semisimple algebra.

So, given \mathfrak{g} Lie and τ its solvable radical, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \tau$ with \mathfrak{s} s/s.
We note that:

- \mathfrak{s} is called **Levi subalgebra** of \mathfrak{g} ;
- τ is an \mathfrak{s} -módulo via the adjoint representation, ad_τ ;
in fact, $\text{ad}_\tau \mathfrak{s}$ is a subalgebra of $\text{Der } \tau$, Lie algebra of derivations of τ .

Context

Levi's theorem (E. E. Levi, 1905)

Any finite dimensional Lie algebra of characteristic zero splits as sum of its solvable radical and a semisimple algebra.

So, given \mathfrak{g} Lie and τ its solvable radical, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \tau$ with \mathfrak{s} s/s.
We note that:

- \mathfrak{s} is called **Levi subalgebra** of \mathfrak{g} ;
- τ is an **\mathfrak{s} -módulo** via the adjoint representation, ad_τ ;
in fact, $\text{ad}_\tau \mathfrak{s}$ is a subalgebra of $\text{Der } \tau$, Lie algebra of derivations of τ .

Context

Levi's theorem (E. E. Levi, 1905)

Any finite dimensional Lie algebra of characteristic zero splits as sum of its solvable radical and a semisimple algebra.

So, given \mathfrak{g} Lie and τ its solvable radical, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \tau$ with \mathfrak{s} s/s.
We note that:

- \mathfrak{s} is called **Levi subalgebra** of \mathfrak{g} ;
- τ is an **\mathfrak{s} -módulo** via the adjoint representation, ad_τ ;
in fact, $\text{ad}_\tau \mathfrak{s}$ is a **subalgebra of $\text{Der } \tau$** , Lie algebra of derivations of τ .

Context

Levi's theorem (E. E. Levi, 1905)

Any finite dimensional Lie algebra of characteristic zero splits as sum of its solvable radical and a semisimple algebra.

So, given \mathfrak{g} Lie and τ its solvable radical, $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \tau$ with \mathfrak{s} s/s.
We note that:

- \mathfrak{s} is called **Levi subalgebra** of \mathfrak{g} ;
- τ is an **\mathfrak{s} -módulo** via the adjoint representation, ad_τ ;
in fact, $\text{ad}_\tau \mathfrak{s}$ is a **subalgebra of $\text{Der } \tau$** , Lie algebra of derivations of τ .

Context

A. I. Malcev, 1944

Any **faithful**^{ab} Lie algebra with **solvable radical** \mathfrak{r} is isomorphic to a Lie algebra of the form ${}^c\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus_{id} \mathfrak{r}$ where \mathfrak{s} is a semisimple subalgebra of a Levi subalgebra of **Der** \mathfrak{r} .

Moreover, given \mathfrak{s}_1 and \mathfrak{s}_2 semisimple subalgebras of \mathfrak{s}_0 , the algebras $\mathfrak{s}_1 \oplus_{id} \mathfrak{r}$ and $\mathfrak{s}_2 \oplus_{id} \mathfrak{r}$ are isomorphic if and only if $\mathfrak{s}_2 = A\mathfrak{s}_1A^{-1}$, where A is an automorphism of \mathfrak{r} , named **Aut** \mathfrak{r} .

^awithout semisimple ideals.

^bAny Lie algebra \mathfrak{a} decomposes as a direct sum of ideals $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{s}' \oplus \mathfrak{g}$, with \mathfrak{s}' semisimple and \mathfrak{g} faithful.

^c \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{r} viewed as subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} , and $[s, a] = s(a)$ for $s \in \mathfrak{s}$ and $a \in \mathfrak{r}$.

Context

A. I. Malcev, 1944

Any **faithful**^{ab} Lie algebra with **solvable radical** \mathfrak{r} is isomorphic to a Lie algebra of the form ${}^c\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus_{id} \mathfrak{r}$ where \mathfrak{s} is a semisimple subalgebra of a Levi subalgebra of **Der** \mathfrak{r} .

Moreover, given \mathfrak{s}_1 and \mathfrak{s}_2 semisimple subalgebras of \mathfrak{s}_0 , the algebras $\mathfrak{s}_1 \oplus_{id} \mathfrak{r}$ and $\mathfrak{s}_2 \oplus_{id} \mathfrak{r}$ are isomorphic if and only if $\mathfrak{s}_2 = A\mathfrak{s}_1A^{-1}$, where A is an automorphism of \mathfrak{r} , named **Aut** \mathfrak{r} .

^awithout semisimple ideals.

^bAny Lie algebra \mathfrak{a} decomposes as a direct sum of ideals $\mathfrak{a} = \mathfrak{s}' \oplus \mathfrak{g}$, with \mathfrak{s}' semisimple and \mathfrak{g} faithful.

^c \mathfrak{s} and \mathfrak{r} viewed as subalgebras of \mathfrak{g} , and $[s, a] = s(a)$ for $s \in \mathfrak{s}$ and $a \in \mathfrak{r}$.

Problem

Classification of Lie algebras of a given radical

- Which radical?

Following Malcev's 1944, solvable radical τ .

Following Malcev's 1945, (...) *solvable Lie algebras can be classify through nilpotent ones (...)*

Following Sato's 1971, (...) *nilpotent Lie algebras are quotients of free nilpotents ones(...)*

Start point : **NILRADICAL FREE NILPOTENT**

- Which tools?

Derivations [to construct ...] and automorphisms of the (((free-)nil)solvable) radical [... up to isomorphisms].

Problem

Classification of Lie algebras of a given radical

- Which radical?

Following Malcev's 1944, solvable radical τ .

Following Malcev's 1945, (...) *solvable Lie algebras can be classify through nilpotent ones* (...)

Following Sato's 1971, (...) *nilpotent Lie algebras are quotients of free nilpotents ones*(...)

Start point : NILRADICAL FREE NILPOTENT

- Which tools?

Derivations [to construct ...] and automorphisms of the (((free-)nil)solvable) radical [... up to isomorphisms].

Problem

Classification of Lie algebras of a given radical

- Which radical?

Following Malcev's 1944, solvable radical τ .

Following Malcev's 1945, (...) *solvable Lie algebras can be classify through nilpotent ones (...)*

Following Sato's 1971, (...) *nilpotent Lie algebras are quotients of free nilpotents ones(...)*

Start point : NILRADICAL FREE NILPOTENT

- Which tools?

Derivations [to construct ...] and automorphisms of the (((free-)nil)solvable) radical [... up to isomorphisms].

Problem

Classification of Lie algebras of a given radical

- Which radical?

Following Malcev's 1944, solvable radical τ .

Following Malcev's 1945, (...) *solvable Lie algebras can be classify through nilpotent ones (...)*

Following Sato's 1971, (...) *nilpotent Lie algebras are quotients of free nilpotents ones(...)*

Start point : NILRADICAL FREE NILPOTENT

- Which tools?

Derivations [to construct ...] and automorphisms of the (((free-)nil)solvable) radical [... up to isomorphisms].

Problem

Classification of Lie algebras of a given radical

- Which radical?

Following Malcev's 1944, solvable radical τ .

Following Malcev's 1945, (...) *solvable Lie algebras can be classify through nilpotent ones (...)*

Following Sato's 1971, (...) *nilpotent Lie algebras are quotients of free nilpotents ones(...)*

Start point : NILRADICAL FREE NILPOTENT

- Which tools?

Derivations [to construct ...] and automorphisms of the (((free-)nil)solvable) radical [... up to isomorphisms].

Problem

Classification of Lie algebras of a given radical

- Which radical?

Following Malcev's 1944, solvable radical τ .

Following Malcev's 1945, (...) *solvable Lie algebras can be classify through nilpotent ones (...)*

Following Sato's 1971, (...) *nilpotent Lie algebras are quotients of free nilpotents ones(...)*

Start point : **NILRADICAL FREE NILPOTENT**

- Which tools?

Derivations [to construct ...] and automorphisms of the (((free-)nil)solvable) radical [... up to isomorphisms].

Problem

Classification of Lie algebras of a given radical

- Which radical?

Following Malcev's 1944, solvable radical τ .

Following Malcev's 1945, (...) *solvable Lie algebras can be classify through nilpotent ones (...)*

Following Sato's 1971, (...) *nilpotent Lie algebras are quotients of free nilpotents ones(...)*

Start point : **NILRADICAL FREE NILPOTENT**

- Which tools?

Derivations **[to construct ...]** and automorphisms of the (((free-)nil)solvable) radical **[... up to isomorphisms]**.

Problem

In this talk

Lie algebras of nilradical a free nilpotent Lie algebra of type 2. ^a

^aPilar Benito and Daniel De-la-Concepción (2013):

A note on extensions of nilpotent Lie algebras of type 2
arXiv:1307.8419

Related preliminary results

Among others (...)

- **General context (*)**

- L. Auslander, J. Brezin (1968)²

Malcev decompositions, i.e., Levi decomposition focus on nilradical.

- A.L. Onishchick, Y.B. Khakimdzhanov (1975)³

Lie algs. with nilradical equal to the nilrad. of a parabolic subalg. of a s/s Lie alg.


- **Restricted context**

J.L. Rubin, P. Winternitz (1993)⁴

Lie algebras with nilradical a Heisenberg algebra.

² Almost algebraic Lie algebras, J. Algebra 8 (1968), 259-313.

³ On semidirect sums of Lie algebras, Mat. Zametki 18 (1975), 31-40. (Math. Notes 18 (1976), 600-604.)

⁴ On Solvable Lie algebras with Heisenberg ideals, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 26 (1993), 1123-1138. 

Related preliminary results

Among others (. . .)

- **General context (*)**

- L. Auslander, J. Brezin (1968)²

Malcev decompositions, i.e., Levi decomposition focus on nilradical.

- A.L. Onishchick, Y.B. Khakimdzhano (1975)³

Lie algs. with nilradical equal to the nilrad. of a parabolic subalg. of a s/s Lie alg.

- **Restricted context**

J.L. Rubin, P. Winternitz (1993)⁴

Lie algebras with nilradical a Heisenberg algebra.

² Almost algebraic Lie algebras, J. Algebra 8 (1968), 259-313.

³ On semidirect sums of Lie algebras, Mat. Zametki 18 (1975), 31-40. (Math. Notes 18 (1976), 600-604.)

⁴ On Solvable Lie algebras with Heisenberg ideals, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 26 (1993), 1123-1138. 

Related preliminary results

Among others (. . .)

- **General context (*)**

- L. Auslander, J. Brezin (1968)²

Malcev decompositions, i.e., Levi decomposition focus on nilradical.

- A.L. Onishchick, Y.B. Khakimdzhanov (1975)³

Lie algs. with nilradical equal to the nilrad. of a parabolic subalg. of a s/s Lie alg.

- **Restricted context**

J.L. Rubin, P. Winternitz (1993)⁴

Lie algebras with nilradical a Heisenberg algebra.

² Almost algebraic Lie algebras, J. Algebra 8 (1968), 259-313.

³ On semidirect sums of Lie algebras, Mat. Zametki 18 (1975), 31-40. (Math. Notes 18 (1976), 600-604.)

⁴ On Solvable Lie algebras with Heisenberg ideals, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 26 (1993), 1123-1138. 

Related preliminary results

Among others (. . .)

- **General context (*)**

- L. Auslander, J. Brezin (1968)²

Malcev decompositions, i.e., Levi decomposition focus on nilradical.

- A.L. Onishchick, Y.B. Khakimdzhanov (1975)³

Lie algs. with nilradical equal to the nilrad. of a parabolic subalg. of a s/s Lie alg.


- **Restricted context**

J.L. Rubin, P. Winternitz (1993) ⁴

Lie algebras with nilradical a Heisenberg algebra.

² Almost algebraic Lie algebras, J. Algebra 8 (1968), 259-313.

³ On semidirect sums of Lie algebras, Mat. Zametki 18 (1975), 31-40. (Math. Notes 18 (1976), 600-604.)

⁴ On Solvable Lie algebras with Heisenberg ideals, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 26 (5) (1993) 1123-1138. 

Related preliminary results

- Recent results

Y. Wang, Y. Lin and S. Deng (2008)⁵

Nilradical a nilpotent Lie algebra of type 2 or 3 and of maximal nilindex; in low nilindex they are free nilpotent.

J.M. Ancochea-Bermúdez, R. Campoamor-Stursberg, L. García-Vergnolle (2011)⁶

As previous one but adding non-solvable extensions.

W. Dengyin, X.L. Hui-Ge (2012)⁷

Nilradical a maximal subalgebra of a symplectic algebra.

⁵ Solvable Lie algebras with quasifiliforms nilradicals, *Comm. Algebra* 36 (2008) 4052-4067.

⁶ Classif of Lie algebr with naturally graded quasi-filiform nilradicals, *J. Geom. Phys.* 61 (2011), 2168-2186.

⁷ Solvable extensions of a class of nilpotent linear algebras Lie algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 37 (2012) 14-25.

Related preliminary results

- Recent results

Y. Wang, Y. Lin and S. Deng (2008)⁵

Nilradical a nilpotent Lie algebra of type 2 or 3 and of maximal nilindex; in low nilindex they are free nilpotent.

J.M. Ancochea-Bermúdez, R. Campoamor-Stursberg, L. García-Vergnolle (2011)⁶

As previous one but adding non-solvable extensions.

W. Dengyin, X.L. Hui-Ge (2012)⁷

Nilradical a maximal subalgebra of a symplectic algebra.

⁵ Solvable Lie algebras with quasifiliforms nilradicals, *Comm. Algebra* 36 (2008) 4052-4067.

⁶ Classif of Lie algebr with naturally graded quasi-filiform nilradicals, *J. Geom. Phys.* 61 (2011), 2168-2186.

⁷ Solvable extensions of a class of nilpotent linear algebras Lie algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 37 (2012) 14-25.

Related preliminary results

- Recent results

Y. Wang, Y. Lin and S. Deng (2008)⁵

Nilradical a nilpotent Lie algebra of type 2 or 3 and of maximal nilindex; in low nilindex they are free nilpotent.

J.M. Ancochea-Bermúdez, R. Campoamor-Stursberg, L. García-Vergnolle (2011)⁶

As previous one but adding non-solvable extensions.

W. Dengyin, X.L. Hui-Ge (2012)⁷

Nilradical a maximal subalgebra of a symplectic algebra.

⁵ Solvable Lie algebras with quasifiliforms nilradicals, *Comm. Algebra* 36 (2008) 4052-4067.

⁶ Classif of Lie algebr with naturally graded quasi-filiform nilradicals, *J. Geom. Phys.* 61 (2011), 2168-2186.

⁷ Solvable extensions of a class of nilpotent linear algebras Lie algebras, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 37 (2012) 14-25. 

Related preliminary results

- Recent results

Y. Wang, Y. Lin and S. Deng (2008)⁵

Nilradical a nilpotent Lie algebra of type 2 or 3 and of maximal nilindex; in low nilindex they are free nilpotent.

J.M. Ancochea-Bermúdez, R. Campoamor-Stursberg, L. García-Vergnolle (2011)⁶

As previous one but adding non-solvable extensions.

W. Dengyin, X.L. Hui-Ge (2012)⁷

Nilradical a maximal subalgebra of a symplectic algebra.

⁵ Solvable Lie algebras with quasifiliforms nilradicals, Comm. Algebra 36 (2008) 4052-4067.

⁶ Classif of Lie algebr with naturally graded quasi-filiform nilradicals, J. Geom. Phys. 61 (2011), 2168-2186.

⁷ Solvable extensions of a class of nilpotent linear algebras Lie algebras, Linear Algebra Appl. 437 (2012) 14-25.

Examples and features

- Heisenberg algebras⁸ $\mathfrak{h}_{2n+1}(n \geq 1)$:

Der $\mathfrak{h}_{2n+1} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}(V, b) \oplus \mathfrak{n}$, \mathfrak{n} nilpotent radical

[R&W, 1993]

The classification of **solvable** algebras with nilradical \mathfrak{h}_{2n+1} boils down to a classification of abelian subalgebras of the symplectic algebra $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}(V, b)$.

For $n = 1, 2$, real and complex fields: complete classification.

The Non-solvable case? **Open**.

⁸Center one dimensional and equal to $\mathfrak{h}_{2n+1}^2 = kz$, $\mathfrak{h}_{2n+1} = V \oplus kz$.

Examples and features

- Heisenberg algebras⁸ $\mathfrak{h}_{2n+1}(n \geq 1)$:

Der $\mathfrak{h}_{2n+1} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}(V, b) \oplus \mathfrak{n}$, \mathfrak{n} nilpotent radical

[R&W, 1993]

The classification of **solvable** algebras with nilradical \mathfrak{h}_{2n+1} boils down to a classification of abelian subalgebras of the symplectic algebra $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}(V, b)$.

For $n = 1, 2$, real and complex fields: complete classification.

The Non-solvable case? **Open**.

⁸Center one dimensional and equal to $\mathfrak{h}_{2n+1}^2 = kz$, $\mathfrak{h}_{2n+1} = V \oplus kz$.

Examples and features

- Heisenberg algebras⁸ $\mathfrak{h}_{2n+1}(n \geq 1)$:

Der $\mathfrak{h}_{2n+1} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}(V, b) \oplus \mathfrak{n}$, \mathfrak{n} nilpotent radical

[R&W, 1993]

The classification of **solvable** algebras with nilradical \mathfrak{h}_{2n+1} boils down to a classification of abelian subalgebras of the symplectic algebra $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}(V, b)$.

For $n = 1, 2$, real and complex fields: complete classification.

The Non-solvable case? **Open**.

⁸Center one dimensional and equal to $\mathfrak{h}_{2n+1}^2 = kz$, $\mathfrak{h}_{2n+1} = V \oplus kz$.

Examples and features

- Heisenberg algebras⁸ $\mathfrak{h}_{2n+1}(n \geq 1)$:

Der $\mathfrak{h}_{2n+1} = \mathfrak{sp}_{2n}(V, b) \oplus \mathfrak{n}$, \mathfrak{n} nilpotent radical

[R&W, 1993]

The classification of **solvable** algebras with nilradical \mathfrak{h}_{2n+1} boils down to a classification of abelian subalgebras of the symplectic algebra $\mathfrak{sp}_{2n}(V, b)$.

For $n = 1, 2$, real and complex fields: complete classification.

The Non-solvable case? **Open**.

⁸Center one dimensional and equal to $\mathfrak{h}_{2n+1}^2 = kz$, $\mathfrak{h}_{2n+1} = V \oplus kz$.

Examples and features

- Filiform \mathfrak{f}_n , $n \geq 3$ and Quasifiliform \mathfrak{q}_n , $n \geq 4$ algebras⁹

$$\dim \mathfrak{f}_n / (\mathfrak{f}_n)^2 = 2 \text{ and } \dim \mathfrak{q}_n / (\mathfrak{q}_n)^2 = 2, 3$$

\implies Classification encoded by free nilpotent of types 2, 3;

$\mathfrak{f}_3 \cong \mathfrak{h}_3$ and \mathfrak{q}_5 are free nilpotent of type 2.

⁹Defined as nilpotent Lie algebras with dimension n and nilindex $n - 1$ (\mathfrak{f} -case) or $n - 2$ (\mathfrak{q} -case).

Examples and features

- Filiform \mathfrak{f}_n , $n \geq 3$ and Quasifiliform \mathfrak{q}_n , $n \geq 4$ algebras⁹

$$\dim \mathfrak{f}_n / (\mathfrak{f}_n)^2 = 2 \text{ and } \dim \mathfrak{q}_n / (\mathfrak{q}_n)^2 = 2, 3$$

⇒ Classification encoded by free nilpotent of types 2, 3;

$\mathfrak{f}_3 \cong \mathfrak{h}_3$ and \mathfrak{q}_5 are free nilpotent of type 2.

⁹Defined as nilpotent Lie algebras with dimension n and nilindex $n - 1$ (\mathfrak{f} -case) or $n - 2$ (\mathfrak{q} -case).

Examples and features

- Filiform \mathfrak{f}_n , $n \geq 3$ and Quasifiliform \mathfrak{q}_n , $n \geq 4$ algebras⁹

$$\dim \mathfrak{f}_n / (\mathfrak{f}_n)^2 = 2 \text{ and } \dim \mathfrak{q}_n / (\mathfrak{q}_n)^2 = 2, 3$$

\implies Classification encoded by free nilpotent of types 2, 3;

$\mathfrak{f}_3 \cong \mathfrak{h}_3$ and \mathfrak{q}_5 are free nilpotent of type 2.

⁹Defined as nilpotent Lie algebras with dimension n and nilindex $n - 1$ (\mathfrak{f} -case) or $n - 2$ (\mathfrak{q} -case).

Examples and features

- Filiform \mathfrak{f}_n , $n \geq 3$ and Quasifiliform \mathfrak{q}_n , $n \geq 4$ algebras⁹

$$\dim \mathfrak{f}_n / (\mathfrak{f}_n)^2 = 2 \text{ and } \dim \mathfrak{q}_n / (\mathfrak{q}_n)^2 = 2, 3$$

\implies Classification encoded by free nilpotent of types 2, 3;

$\mathfrak{f}_3 \cong \mathfrak{h}_3$ and \mathfrak{q}_5 are free nilpotent of type 2.

⁹Defined as nilpotent Lie algebras with dimension n and nilindex $n - 1$ (\mathfrak{f} -case) or $n - 2$ (\mathfrak{q} -case).

Examples and features

The **derivation algebra of \mathfrak{f}_3 and \mathfrak{q}_5** have the simple split 3-dimensional algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(k)$ as Levi subalgebra:

Exceptional feature :-)).

For sizes $n \neq 3, m \neq 5$, **Der \mathfrak{f}_n and Der \mathfrak{q}_m are solvable**. So:

Only solvable Lie algebras can have filiform or quasifiliform nilradicals (sizes different from 3 and 5), if we are looking for nontrivial decompositions.

[AB&CS&GV, 2011]

Classify Lie algebras with nilradical a “natural graded” filiform or quasifiliform algebra.

(Some errors in the classification)

Non-“natural graded”? **Open.**

Examples and features

The **derivation algebra of \mathfrak{f}_3 and \mathfrak{q}_5** have the simple split 3-dimensional algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(k)$ as Levi subalgebra:

Exceptional feature :-)).

For sizes $n \neq 3, m \neq 5$, **Der \mathfrak{f}_n and Der \mathfrak{q}_m are solvable**. So:

Only solvable Lie algebras can have filiform or quasifiliform nilradicals (sizes different from 3 and 5), if we are looking for nontrivial decompositions.

[AB&CS&GV, 2011]

Classify Lie algebras with nilradical a “natural graded” filiform or quasifiliform algebra.

(Some errors in the classification)

Non-“natural graded”? **Open.**

Examples and features

The **derivation algebra of \mathfrak{f}_3 and \mathfrak{q}_5** have the simple split 3-dimensional algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(k)$ as Levi subalgebra:

Exceptional feature :-)).

For sizes $n \neq 3, m \neq 5$, **Der \mathfrak{f}_n and Der \mathfrak{q}_m are solvable**. So:

Only solvable Lie algebras can have filiform or quasifiliform nilradicals (sizes different from 3 and 5), if we are looking for nontrivial decompositions.

[AB&CS&GV, 2011]

Classify Lie algebras with nilradical a “natural graded” filiform or quasifiliform algebra.

(Some errors in the classification)

Non-“natural graded”? **Open**.

Examples and features

The **derivation algebra of \mathfrak{f}_3 and \mathfrak{q}_5** have the simple split 3-dimensional algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(k)$ as Levi subalgebra:

Exceptional feature :-)).

For sizes $n \neq 3, m \neq 5$, **Der \mathfrak{f}_n and Der \mathfrak{q}_m are solvable**. So:

Only solvable Lie algebras can have filiform or quasifiliform nilradicals (sizes different from 3 and 5), if we are looking for nontrivial decompositions.

[AB&CS&GV, 2011]

Classify **Lie algebras with nilradical a “natural graded” filiform or quasifiliform algebra**.

(Some errors in the classification)

Non-“natural graded”? **Open**.

Examples and features

The **derivation algebra of \mathfrak{f}_3 and \mathfrak{q}_5** have the simple split 3-dimensional algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(k)$ as Levi subalgebra:

Exceptional feature :-)).

For sizes $n \neq 3, m \neq 5$, **Der \mathfrak{f}_n and Der \mathfrak{q}_m are solvable**. So:

Only solvable Lie algebras can have filiform or quasifiliform nilradicals (sizes different from 3 and 5), if we are looking for nontrivial decompositions.

[AB&CS&GV, 2011]

Classify **Lie algebras with nilradical a “natural graded” filiform or quasifiliform algebra**.

(Some errors in the classification)

Non-“natural graded”? Open.

Terminology

$(\mathfrak{n}, [a, b])$ **nilpotent** Lie algebra ($\mathfrak{n}^{t+1} = 0$),

Lower central series:

$\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}^2 = [\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}], \mathfrak{n}^3 = [\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}^2], \dots, \mathfrak{n}^{j+1} = [\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}^j];$

Nil-index: smallest t such that $\mathfrak{n}^{t+1} = 0$;

type: codimension of \mathfrak{n}^2 in \mathfrak{n} ;

Der \mathfrak{n} : derivation Lie algebra;

Aut \mathfrak{n} : automorphism group.

Terminology

$(\mathfrak{n}, [a, b])$ **nilpotent** Lie algebra ($\mathfrak{n}^{t+1} = 0$),

Lower central series:

$\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}^2 = [\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}], \mathfrak{n}^3 = [\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}^2], \dots, \mathfrak{n}^{j+1} = [\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}^j];$

Nil-index: smallest t such that $\mathfrak{n}^{t+1} = 0$;

type: codimension of \mathfrak{n}^2 in \mathfrak{n} ;

Der \mathfrak{n} : derivation Lie algebra;

Aut \mathfrak{n} : automorphism group.

Terminology

$(\mathfrak{n}, [a, b])$ **nilpotent** Lie algebra ($\mathfrak{n}^{t+1} = 0$),

Lower central series:

$\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}^2 = [\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}], \mathfrak{n}^3 = [\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}^2], \dots, \mathfrak{n}^{j+1} = [\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}^j];$

Nil-index: smallest t such that $\mathfrak{n}^{t+1} = 0$;

type: codimension of \mathfrak{n}^2 in \mathfrak{n} ;

$\text{Der } \mathfrak{n}$: derivation Lie algebra;

$\text{Aut } \mathfrak{n}$: automorphism group.

Terminology

$(\mathfrak{n}, [a, b])$ **nilpotent** Lie algebra ($\mathfrak{n}^{t+1} = 0$),

Lower central series:

$\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}^2 = [\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}], \mathfrak{n}^3 = [\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}^2], \dots, \mathfrak{n}^{j+1} = [\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}^j];$

Nil-index: smallest t such that $\mathfrak{n}^{t+1} = 0$;

type: codimension of \mathfrak{n}^2 in \mathfrak{n} ;

Der \mathfrak{n} : derivation Lie algebra;

Aut \mathfrak{n} : automorphism group.

Terminology

$(\mathfrak{n}, [a, b])$ **nilpotent** Lie algebra ($\mathfrak{n}^{t+1} = 0$),

Lower central series:

$\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}^2 = [\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}], \mathfrak{n}^3 = [\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}^2], \dots, \mathfrak{n}^{j+1} = [\mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{n}^j];$

Nil-index: smallest t such that $\mathfrak{n}^{t+1} = 0$;

type: codimension of \mathfrak{n}^2 in \mathfrak{n} ;

Der \mathfrak{n} : derivation Lie algebra;

Aut \mathfrak{n} : automorphism group.

Free nilpotent definition

Let $\mathfrak{FL}(\mathfrak{m})$ be the free Lie algebra on $\mathfrak{m} = \{x_1, \dots, x_d\}$,

For any $t \geq 1$, the quotient

$$\mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = \frac{\mathfrak{FL}(\mathfrak{m})}{\mathfrak{FL}(\mathfrak{m})^{t+1}}$$

is called free t -nilpotent Lie algebra on d -generators.

Free nilpotent basic facts

- 1 Type $\mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = d$ and $\mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$ is t -nilpotent.
- 2 Graduated: $\mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{m}^2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{m}^t$.
- 3 Any t -nilpotent and d -type is a quotient of $\mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$.
- 4 For a given quotient

$$\mathfrak{n} = \frac{\mathfrak{n}_{d,t}}{J}, \text{ we have } \text{Der } \mathfrak{n} = \frac{\mathcal{D}_J}{\mathcal{D}_0},$$

where \mathcal{D}_J is the subalgebra of J -invariant derivations and \mathcal{D}_0 is the set of derivations which send $\mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$ onto J^a .

- 5 $\text{Der } \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = \mathfrak{sl}_d(k) \oplus \mathfrak{r}$, \mathfrak{r} solvable radical¹⁰.
- 6 $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} \cong GL_d(k) \ltimes H$ (semidirect product).

¹⁰, a T. Sato: The derivations of the Lie algebras, Tohoku. Math. Journ., 23 (1971) 241-266

Free nilpotent basic facts

- 1 Type $\mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = d$ and $\mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$ is t -nilpotent.
- 2 Graduated: $\mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{m}^2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{m}^t$.
- 3 Any t -nilpotent and d -type is a quotient of $\mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$.
- 4 For a given quotient

$$\mathfrak{n} = \frac{\mathfrak{n}_{d,t}}{J}, \text{ we have } \text{Der } \mathfrak{n} = \frac{\mathcal{D}_J}{\mathcal{D}_0},$$

where \mathcal{D}_J is the subalgebra of J -invariant derivations and \mathcal{D}_0 is the set of derivations which send $\mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$ onto J^a .

- 5 $\text{Der } \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = \mathfrak{sl}_d(k) \oplus \mathfrak{r}$, \mathfrak{r} solvable radical¹⁰.
- 6 $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} \cong GL_d(k) \ltimes H$ (semidirect product).

¹⁰, a T. Sato: The derivations of the Lie algebras, Tohoku. Math. Journ., 23 (1971) 24-26

Free nilpotent basic facts

- 1 Type $\mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = d$ and $\mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$ is t -nilpotent.
- 2 Graduated: $\mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{m}^2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{m}^t$.
- 3 Any t -nilpotent and d -type is a quotient of $\mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$.
- 4 For a given quotient

$$\mathfrak{n} = \frac{\mathfrak{n}_{d,t}}{J}, \text{ we have } \text{Der } \mathfrak{n} = \frac{\mathcal{D}_J}{\mathcal{D}_0},$$

where \mathcal{D}_J is the subalgebra of J -invariant derivations and \mathcal{D}_0 is the set of derivations which send $\mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$ onto J^a .

- 5 $\text{Der } \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = \mathfrak{sl}_d(k) \oplus \mathfrak{r}$, \mathfrak{r} solvable radical¹⁰.
- 6 $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} \cong GL_d(k) \ltimes H$ (semidirect product).

¹⁰, a T. Sato: The derivations of the Lie algebras, Tohoku. Math. Journ., 23 (1971) 24-36

Free nilpotent basic facts

- 1 Type $\mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = d$ and $\mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$ is t -nilpotent.
- 2 Graduated: $\mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{m}^2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{m}^t$.
- 3 Any t -nilpotent and d -type is a quotient of $\mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$.
- 4 For a given quotient

$$\mathfrak{n} = \frac{\mathfrak{n}_{d,t}}{J}, \text{ we have } \text{Der } \mathfrak{n} = \frac{\mathcal{D}_J}{\mathcal{D}_0},$$

where \mathcal{D}_J is the subalgebra of J -invariant derivations and \mathcal{D}_0 is the set of derivations which send $\mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$ onto J^a .

- 5 $\text{Der } \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = \mathfrak{sl}_d(k) \oplus \tau$, τ solvable radical¹⁰.
- 6 $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} \cong GL_d(k) \ltimes H$ (semidirect product).

¹⁰, a T. Sato: The derivations of the Lie algebras, Tohoku. Math. Journ., 23 (1971) 246

Free nilpotent basic facts

- 1 Type $\mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = d$ and $\mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$ is t -nilpotent.
- 2 Graduated: $\mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{m}^2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{m}^t$.
- 3 Any t -nilpotent and d -type is a quotient of $\mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$.
- 4 For a given quotient

$$\mathfrak{n} = \frac{\mathfrak{n}_{d,t}}{J}, \text{ we have } \text{Der } \mathfrak{n} = \frac{\mathcal{D}_J}{\mathcal{D}_0},$$

where \mathcal{D}_J is the subalgebra of J -invariant derivations and \mathcal{D}_0 is the set of derivations which send $\mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$ onto J^a .

- 5 $\text{Der } \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = \mathfrak{sl}_d(k) \oplus \mathfrak{r}$, \mathfrak{r} solvable radical¹⁰.
- 6 $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} \cong GL_d(k) \ltimes H$ (semidirect product).

¹⁰, a T. Sato: The derivations of the Lie algebras, Tohoku. Math. Journ., 23 (1971) 21-36.

Free nilpotent basic facts

- 1 Type $\mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = d$ and $\mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$ is t -nilpotent.
- 2 Graduated: $\mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = \mathfrak{m} \oplus \mathfrak{m}^2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{m}^t$.
- 3 Any t -nilpotent and d -type is a quotient of $\mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$.
- 4 For a given quotient

$$\mathfrak{n} = \frac{\mathfrak{n}_{d,t}}{J}, \text{ we have } \text{Der } \mathfrak{n} = \frac{\mathcal{D}_J}{\mathcal{D}_0},$$

where \mathcal{D}_J is the subalgebra of J -invariant derivations and \mathcal{D}_0 is the set of derivations which send $\mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$ onto J^a .

- 5 $\text{Der } \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = \mathfrak{sl}_d(k) \oplus \mathfrak{r}$, \mathfrak{r} solvable radical¹⁰.
- 6 $\text{Aut } \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} \cong GL_d(k) \ltimes H$ (semidirect product).

¹⁰, ^aT. Sato: The derivations of the Lie algebras, Tohoku. Math. Journ., 23 (1971) 21-36.

The derivation Lie algebra

$$\text{Der } \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^t \text{Der}_j \mathfrak{n}_{d,t},$$

where $\text{Der}_j \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = \{d \in \text{Der } \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} : d(\mathfrak{m}) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^j\}$.

- 1 $[d_i, d_s] \in \text{Der}_{i+s-1} \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$;
- 2 $\text{Der}_1 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = \text{Der}_1^0 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} \oplus k \cdot \text{id}_{d,t} \cong \mathfrak{gl}_d(k)$;
- 3 $\text{id}_{d,t} \upharpoonright_{\mathfrak{m}^s} = s \cdot \text{Id}$; $[\text{id}_{d,t}, d_s] = (s-1)d_s$ for any $d_s \in \text{Der}_s \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$.
- 4 $\text{Der}_1^0 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = [\text{Der}_1 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}, \text{Der}_1 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}] \cong \mathfrak{sl}_d(k)$;
- 5 Nilradical: $\mathfrak{N}_{d,t} = \bigoplus_{j \geq 2}^t \text{Der}_j \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$;
- 6 Radical: $\mathfrak{R}_{d,t} = k \cdot \text{id}_{d,t} \oplus \mathfrak{N}_{d,t}$;

The derivation Lie algebra

$$\text{Der } \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^t \text{Der}_j \mathfrak{n}_{d,t},$$

where $\text{Der}_j \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = \{d \in \text{Der } \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} : d(\mathfrak{m}) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^j\}$.

- 1 $[d_i, d_s] \in \text{Der}_{i+s-1} \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$;
- 2 $\text{Der}_1 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = \text{Der}_1^0 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} \oplus k \cdot \text{id}_{d,t} \cong \mathfrak{gl}_d(k)$;
- 3 $\text{id}_{d,t} \upharpoonright_{\mathfrak{m}^s} = s \cdot \text{Id}$; $[\text{id}_{d,t}, d_s] = (s-1)d_s$ for any $d_s \in \text{Der}_s \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$.
- 4 $\text{Der}_1^0 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = [\text{Der}_1 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}, \text{Der}_1 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}] \cong \mathfrak{sl}_d(k)$;
- 5 Nilradical: $\mathfrak{N}_{d,t} = \bigoplus_{j \geq 2}^t \text{Der}_j \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$;
- 6 Radical: $\mathfrak{R}_{d,t} = k \cdot \text{id}_{d,t} \oplus \mathfrak{N}_{d,t}$;

The derivation Lie algebra

$$\text{Der } \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^t \text{Der}_j \mathfrak{n}_{d,t},$$

where $\text{Der}_j \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = \{d \in \text{Der } \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} : d(\mathfrak{m}) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^j\}$.

- 1 $[d_i, d_s] \in \text{Der}_{i+s-1} \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$;
- 2 $\text{Der}_1 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = \text{Der}_1^0 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} \oplus k \cdot id_{d,t} \cong \mathfrak{gl}_d(k)$;
- 3 $id_{d,t} |_{\mathfrak{m}^s} = s \cdot Id$; $[id_{d,t}, d_s] = (s-1)d_s$ for any $d_s \in \text{Der}_s \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$.
- 4 $\text{Der}_1^0 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = [\text{Der}_1 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}, \text{Der}_1 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}] \cong \mathfrak{sl}_d(k)$;
- 5 Nilradical: $\mathfrak{N}_{d,t} = \bigoplus_{j \geq 2}^t \text{Der}_j \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$;
- 6 Radical: $\mathfrak{R}_{d,t} = k \cdot id_{d,t} \oplus \mathfrak{N}_{d,t}$;

The derivation Lie algebra

$$\text{Der } \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^t \text{Der}_j \mathfrak{n}_{d,t},$$

where $\text{Der}_j \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = \{d \in \text{Der } \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} : d(\mathfrak{m}) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^j\}$.

- 1 $[d_i, d_s] \in \text{Der}_{i+s-1} \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$;
- 2 $\text{Der}_1 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = \text{Der}_1^0 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} \oplus k \cdot id_{d,t} \cong \mathfrak{gl}_d(k)$;
- 3 $id_{d,t} |_{\mathfrak{m}^s} = s \cdot Id$; $[id_{d,t}, d_s] = (s-1)d_s$ for any $d_s \in \text{Der}_s \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$.
- 4 $\text{Der}_1^0 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = [\text{Der}_1 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}, \text{Der}_1 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}] \cong \mathfrak{sl}_d(k)$;
- 5 Nilradical: $\mathfrak{N}_{d,t} = \bigoplus_{j \geq 2}^t \text{Der}_j \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$;
- 6 Radical: $\mathfrak{R}_{d,t} = k \cdot id_{d,t} \oplus \mathfrak{N}_{d,t}$;

The derivation Lie algebra

$$\text{Der } \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^t \text{Der}_j \mathfrak{n}_{d,t},$$

where $\text{Der}_j \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = \{d \in \text{Der } \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} : d(\mathfrak{m}) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^j\}$.

- 1 $[d_i, d_s] \in \text{Der}_{i+s-1} \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$;
- 2 $\text{Der}_1 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = \text{Der}_1^0 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} \oplus k \cdot id_{d,t} \cong \mathfrak{gl}_d(k)$;
- 3 $id_{d,t} \upharpoonright_{\mathfrak{m}^s} = s \cdot Id$; $[id_{d,t}, d_s] = (s-1)d_s$ for any $d_s \in \text{Der}_s \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$.
- 4 $\text{Der}_1^0 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = [\text{Der}_1 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}, \text{Der}_1 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}] \cong \mathfrak{sl}_d(k)$;
- 5 Nilradical: $\mathfrak{N}_{d,t} = \bigoplus_{j \geq 2}^t \text{Der}_j \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$;
- 6 Radical: $\mathfrak{R}_{d,t} = k \cdot id_{d,t} \oplus \mathfrak{N}_{d,t}$;

The derivation Lie algebra

$$\text{Der } \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^t \text{Der}_j \mathfrak{n}_{d,t},$$

where $\text{Der}_j \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = \{d \in \text{Der } \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} : d(\mathfrak{m}) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^j\}$.

- 1 $[d_i, d_s] \in \text{Der}_{i+s-1} \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$;
- 2 $\text{Der}_1 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = \text{Der}_1^0 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} \oplus k \cdot id_{d,t} \cong \mathfrak{gl}_d(k)$;
- 3 $id_{d,t} |_{\mathfrak{m}^s} = s \cdot Id$; $[id_{d,t}, d_s] = (s-1)d_s$ for any $d_s \in \text{Der}_s \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$.
- 4 $\text{Der}_1^0 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = [\text{Der}_1 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}, \text{Der}_1 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}] \cong \mathfrak{sl}_d(k)$;
- 5 Nilradical: $\mathfrak{N}_{d,t} = \bigoplus_{j \geq 2}^t \text{Der}_j \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$;
- 6 Radical: $\mathfrak{R}_{d,t} = k \cdot id_{d,t} \oplus \mathfrak{N}_{d,t}$;

The derivation Lie algebra

$$\text{Der } \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = \bigoplus_{j=1}^t \text{Der}_j \mathfrak{n}_{d,t},$$

where $\text{Der}_j \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = \{d \in \text{Der } \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} : d(\mathfrak{m}) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^j\}$.

- 1 $[d_i, d_s] \in \text{Der}_{i+s-1} \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$;
- 2 $\text{Der}_1^0 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = \text{Der}_1^0 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} \oplus k \cdot id_{d,t} \cong \mathfrak{gl}_d(k)$;
- 3 $id_{d,t} \upharpoonright_{\mathfrak{m}^s} = s \cdot Id$; $[id_{d,t}, d_s] = (s-1)d_s$ for any $d_s \in \text{Der}_s \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$.
- 4 $\text{Der}_1^0 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} = [\text{Der}_1 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}, \text{Der}_1 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}] \cong \mathfrak{sl}_d(k)$;
- 5 Nilradical: $\mathfrak{N}_{d,t} = \bigoplus_{j \geq 2}^t \text{Der}_j \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$;
- 6 Radical: $\mathfrak{R}_{d,t} = k \cdot id_{d,t} \oplus \mathfrak{N}_{d,t}$;

First fast result

According to Malcev's 44, the biggest faithful Lie algebra of characteristic zero with solvable radical $\mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$ is:

$$\mathfrak{g}_{d,t} = \text{Der}_1^0 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} \oplus_{id} \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}.$$

Even more:

Any faithful Lie algebra of characteristic zero with solvable radical $\mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$ is isomorphic to a Lie algebra of the form $\mathfrak{s} \oplus_{id} \mathfrak{n}_{d,t}$ where \mathfrak{s} is either the null algebra or a semisimple subalgebra of $\text{Der}_1^0 \mathfrak{n}_{d,t} \cong \mathfrak{sl}_d(k)$ (**simple Lie algebra of Cartan Type A_{d-1}**).
In particular, $\mathfrak{n}_{2,t}$, $\mathfrak{g}_{2,t}$ and the direct sum as ideals $\mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_{2,t}$ and $\mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{2,t}$, where \mathfrak{s} is semisimple, exhaust the list of Lie algebras with solvable radical $\mathfrak{n}_{2,t}$.

Benito&de-la-Concepción, 2013

Let \mathfrak{r} be a solvable Lie algebra with nilradical $\mathfrak{n}_{2,t}$. Then, $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{n}_{2,t} \oplus \mathfrak{t}$ where \mathfrak{t} is a vector space equidimensional to the projection $\mathfrak{p}_1(\mathfrak{t}) = \text{proj}_{\text{Der}_1 \mathfrak{n}_{2,t}} \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}_{2,t}} \mathfrak{t}$ which is an abelian subalgebra of $\text{Der}_1 \mathfrak{n}_{2,t}$ of dimension at most 2 and the derivation set $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}_{2,t}} \mathfrak{t}$ contains no nilpotent elements. Moreover, in case $\mathfrak{t} = k \cdot x \oplus k \cdot y$, $\mathfrak{p}_1(\mathfrak{t}) = \mathcal{C}_{\text{Der}_1 \mathfrak{n}_{2,t}}(\delta)$ for some $\delta \in \text{Der}_1 \mathfrak{n}_{2,t} \setminus k \cdot \text{id}_{2,t}$ and the bracket derivation $[\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}_{2,t}} x, \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}_{2,t}} y]$ is an inner derivation of $\mathfrak{n}_{2,t}$.

In other words: only 1-solvable $\mathfrak{n}_{2,t} \oplus k \cdot x$, and 2-solvable $\mathfrak{n}_{2,t} \oplus k \cdot x \oplus k \cdot y$ extensions are possible; the extensions are given by derivations with some restrictions which are controlled by the subalgebra $\text{Der}_1 \mathfrak{n}_{2,t} = \mathfrak{sl}_2(k) \oplus k \cdot \text{id}_{2,t}$.

Benito&de-la-Concepción, 2013

Let \mathfrak{r} be a solvable Lie algebra with nilradical $\mathfrak{n}_{2,t}$. Then, $\mathfrak{r} = \mathfrak{n}_{2,t} \oplus \mathfrak{t}$ where \mathfrak{t} is a vector space equidimensional to the projection $\mathfrak{p}_1(\mathfrak{t}) = \text{proj}_{\text{Der}_1 \mathfrak{n}_{2,t}} \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}_{2,t}} \mathfrak{t}$ which is an abelian subalgebra of $\text{Der}_1 \mathfrak{n}_{2,t}$ of dimension at most 2 and the derivation set $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}_{2,t}} \mathfrak{t}$ contains no nilpotent elements. Moreover, in case $\mathfrak{t} = k \cdot x \oplus k \cdot y$, $\mathfrak{p}_1(\mathfrak{t}) = C_{\text{Der}_1 \mathfrak{n}_{2,t}}(\delta)$ for some $\delta \in \text{Der}_1 \mathfrak{n}_{2,t} \setminus k \cdot \text{id}_{2,t}$ and the bracket derivation $[\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}_{2,t}} x, \text{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}_{2,t}} y]$ is an inner derivation of $\mathfrak{n}_{2,t}$.

In other words: only 1-solvable $\mathfrak{n}_{2,t} \oplus k \cdot x$, and 2-solvable $\mathfrak{n}_{2,t} \oplus k \cdot x \oplus k \cdot y$ extensions are possible; the extensions are given by derivations with some restrictions which are controlled by the subalgebra $\text{Der}_1 \mathfrak{n}_{2,t} = \mathfrak{sl}_2(k) \oplus k \cdot \text{id}_{2,t}$.

Benito&de-la-Concepción, 2013

Let \mathfrak{g} be a non-solvable Lie algebra with nilradical $\mathfrak{n}_{2,t}$. Then \mathfrak{g} is one of the following Lie algebras:

- $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{r}$ is a direct sum as ideals of a semisimple algebra \mathfrak{s} and a solvable Lie algebra \mathfrak{r} with nilradical $\mathfrak{n}_{2,t}$;
- $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{2,t}$, a direct sum as ideals where \mathfrak{s} is either the null algebra or any arbitrary semisimple algebra or
- $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{g}(\delta)$, a direct sum as ideals where \mathfrak{s} is either the null algebra or any arbitrary semisimple algebra and $\mathfrak{g}(\delta)$ is the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(\delta) = \mathfrak{g}_{2,t} \oplus k \cdot x$, with $[\text{Der}_1^0 \mathfrak{n}_{2,t}, x] = 0$ and $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}_{2,t}} x = \text{id}_{2,t} + \delta$, $\delta \in \mathfrak{N}_{2,t}$ where $\delta \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{Der } \mathfrak{n}_{2,t}}(\text{Der}_1^0 \mathfrak{n}_{2,t})$.

So: the algebras in a) depends on solvable extensions and those in c) are given by derivations that belong to the trivial module of $\text{Der } \mathfrak{n}_{2,t}$ with respect to their Levi subalgebra.

Benito&de-la-Concepción, 2013

Let \mathfrak{g} be a non-solvable Lie algebra with nilradical $\mathfrak{n}_{2,t}$. Then \mathfrak{g} is one of the following Lie algebras:

- $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{r}$ is a direct sum as ideals of a semisimple algebra \mathfrak{s} and a solvable Lie algebra \mathfrak{r} with nilradical $\mathfrak{n}_{2,t}$;
- $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{2,t}$, a direct sum as ideals where \mathfrak{s} is either the null algebra or any arbitrary semisimple algebra or
- $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s} \oplus \mathfrak{g}(\delta)$, a direct sum as ideals where \mathfrak{s} is either the null algebra or any arbitrary semisimple algebra and $\mathfrak{g}(\delta)$ is the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}(\delta) = \mathfrak{g}_{2,t} \oplus k \cdot x$, with $[\text{Der}_1^0 \mathfrak{n}_{2,t}, x] = 0$ and $\text{ad}_{\mathfrak{n}_{2,t}} x = \text{id}_{2,t} + \delta$, $\delta \in \mathfrak{N}_{2,t}$ where $\delta \in \mathcal{C}_{\text{Der } \mathfrak{n}_{2,t}}(\text{Der}_1^0 \mathfrak{n}_{2,t})$.

So: the algebras in a) depends on solvable extensions and those in c) are given by derivations that belong to the trivial module of $\text{Der } \mathfrak{n}_{2,t}$ with respect to their Levi subalgebra.

Standar basis for $n_{2,t}$

The free algebra $n_{2,t}$ is determine by the $\mathfrak{sl}_2(k)$ -module m :

m	m^2	m^3	m^4	m^5
$V(1)$	$V(0)$	$V(1)$	$V(2)$	$V(3) \oplus V(1)$
v_0, v_1	$[v_0, v_1] = w_0$	$[v_0, w_0] = z_0$ $[v_0, w_0] = z_1$	$[v_0, z_0] = x_0$ $[v_0, z_1] = [v_1, z_0] = \frac{1}{2}x_1$ $[v_1, z_1] = x_2$	\dots \dots

Special feature: Nested basis

$$n_{2,1} = V(1)$$

$$n_{2,2} = V(1) \oplus V(0)$$

$$n_{2,3} = V(1) \oplus V(0) \oplus V(1)$$

\dots

Der $\mathfrak{n}_{2,3}$:

in blue, $\text{Der}_1 \mathfrak{n}_{2,3}$ isomorphic to $\mathfrak{gl}_2(k)$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 + \beta & \alpha_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_3 & -\alpha_1 + \beta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_4 & \alpha_5 & 2\beta & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_6 & \alpha_7 & \alpha_5 & \alpha_1 + 3\beta & \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_8 & \alpha_9 & -\alpha_4 & \alpha_3 & -\alpha_1 + 3\beta \end{pmatrix}$$

Aut $\mathfrak{n}_{2,3}$:

$\epsilon = \alpha_1\alpha_4 - \alpha_2\alpha_3 \neq 0$; in blue, $GL_2(k)$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_3 & \alpha_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_5 & \alpha_6 & \epsilon & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_7 & \alpha_8 & \alpha_1\alpha_6 - \alpha_2\alpha_5 & \epsilon\alpha_1 & \epsilon\alpha_2 \\ \alpha_9 & \alpha_{10} & \alpha_3\alpha_6 - \alpha_4\alpha_5 & \epsilon\alpha_3 & \epsilon\alpha_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Der $\mathfrak{n}_{2,3}$:

in blue, $\text{Der}_1 \mathfrak{n}_{2,3}$ isomorphic to $\mathfrak{gl}_2(k)$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 + \beta & \alpha_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_3 & -\alpha_1 + \beta & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_4 & \alpha_5 & 2\beta & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_6 & \alpha_7 & \alpha_5 & \alpha_1 + 3\beta & \alpha_2 \\ \alpha_8 & \alpha_9 & -\alpha_4 & \alpha_3 & -\alpha_1 + 3\beta \end{pmatrix}$$

Aut $\mathfrak{n}_{2,3}$:

$\epsilon = \alpha_1\alpha_4 - \alpha_2\alpha_3 \neq 0$; in blue, $GL_2(k)$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 & \alpha_2 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_3 & \alpha_4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_5 & \alpha_6 & \epsilon & 0 & 0 \\ \alpha_7 & \alpha_8 & \alpha_1\alpha_6 - \alpha_2\alpha_5 & \epsilon\alpha_1 & \epsilon\alpha_2 \\ \alpha_9 & \alpha_{10} & \alpha_3\alpha_6 - \alpha_4\alpha_5 & \epsilon\alpha_3 & \epsilon\alpha_4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Der $\mathfrak{n}_{2,1}$, Der $\mathfrak{n}_{2,2}$ and Der $\mathfrak{n}_{2,3}$:
 CUT AND PASTE DESCRIPTIONS

$\mathfrak{n}_{2,1}$	$\alpha_1 + \beta$	α_2	0	0	0
	α_3	$-\alpha_1 + \beta$	0	0	0
$\mathfrak{n}_{2,2}$	α_4	α_5	2β	0	0
$\mathfrak{n}_{2,3}$	α_6	α_7	α_5	$\alpha_1 + 3\beta$	α_2
	α_8	α_9	$-\alpha_4$	α_3	$-\alpha_1 + 3\beta$

1-solvable extensions' map

$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$	$n_{2,1}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$
	$n_{2,3}$	$\alpha = -1 \quad \updownarrow \quad \updownarrow \quad \alpha = -1$
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$n_{2,2}$ $\alpha = 0$ \longleftrightarrow $\alpha = 0$ \longleftrightarrow	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 + \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 + \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 + 2\alpha \end{pmatrix}$

1-extensions for $n_{2,1}$ and $n_{2,2} = 2$;

1-extensions for $n_{2,3} = 4$.

1-solvable extensions' map

$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$	$n_{2,1}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$
	$n_{2,3}$	$\alpha = -1 \quad \updownarrow \quad \updownarrow \quad \alpha = -1$
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$n_{2,2}$ $\alpha = 0$ \longleftrightarrow $\alpha = 0$ \longleftrightarrow	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 + \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 + \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 + 2\alpha \end{pmatrix}$

1-extensions for $n_{2,1}$ and $n_{2,2} = 2$;

1-extensions for $n_{2,3} = 4$.

1-solvable extensions' map

$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$	$n_{2,1}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$
	$n_{2,3}$	$\alpha = -1 \quad \updownarrow \quad \updownarrow \quad \alpha = -1$
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$n_{2,2}$ $\alpha = 0$ \longleftrightarrow $\alpha = 0$ \longleftrightarrow	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \alpha & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 + \alpha & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 + \alpha & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 + 2\alpha \end{pmatrix}$

1-extensions for $n_{2,1}$ and $n_{2,2} = 2$;

1-extensions for $n_{2,3} = 4$.

2-solvable extensions' map

	$\mathfrak{n}_{2,1}$	
	$\mathfrak{n}_{2,3}$	
	$\mathfrak{n}_{2,2}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$

1-extensions for $\mathfrak{n}_{2,1}$, $\mathfrak{n}_{2,2}$ and $\mathfrak{n}_{2,3} = 1$.

Even more: Unique extension given by a CSA of $\text{Der}_1 \mathfrak{n}_{2,t} \cong \mathfrak{gl}_2(k)$.

2-solvable extensions' map

	$\mathfrak{n}_{2,1}$	
	$\mathfrak{n}_{2,3}$	
	$\mathfrak{n}_{2,2}$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$

1-extensions for $\mathfrak{n}_{2,1}$, $\mathfrak{n}_{2,2}$ and $\mathfrak{n}_{2,3} = 1$.

Even more: Unique extension given by a CSA of $\text{Der}_1 \mathfrak{n}_{2,t} \cong \mathfrak{gl}_2(k)$.

Non-solvable extensions of type $\mathfrak{g}(\delta)$ (item c) 2nd main result)

The centralizer $C_{\text{Der } \mathfrak{n}_{2,t}}(\text{Der}_1^0 \mathfrak{n}_{2,t})$:

- $t = 1, 2$: $k \cdot id_{2,t}$
- $t = 3$: $C_{\text{Der } \mathfrak{n}_{2,t}}(\text{Der}_1^0 \mathfrak{n}_{2,t}) = k \cdot id_{2,t} \oplus k \cdot \text{ad } \omega_0$, where $\omega_0 = [v_0, v_1]$.

Up to isomorphisms, $\delta = id_{2,t}$, so

$$\mathfrak{g}(id_{2,t}) = \mathfrak{g}_{2,t} \oplus k \cdot id_{2,t}$$

is the unique possibility for $t = 1, 2, 3$.

Non-solvable extensions of type $\mathfrak{g}(\delta)$ (item c) 2nd main result)

The centralizer $C_{\text{Der } \mathfrak{n}_{2,t}}(\text{Der}_1^0 \mathfrak{n}_{2,t})$:

- $t = 1, 2$: $k \cdot id_{2,t}$
- $t = 3$: $C_{\text{Der } \mathfrak{n}_{2,t}}(\text{Der}_1^0 \mathfrak{n}_{2,t}) = k \cdot id_{2,t} \oplus k \cdot \text{ad } \omega_0$, where $\omega_0 = [v_0, v_1]$.

Up to isomorphisms, $\delta = id_{2,t}$, so

$$\mathfrak{g}(id_{2,t}) = \mathfrak{g}_{2,t} \oplus k \cdot id_{2,t}$$

is the unique possibility for $t = 1, 2, 3$.

Non-solvable extensions of type $\mathfrak{g}(\delta)$ (item c) 2nd main result)The centralizer $C_{\text{Der } \mathfrak{n}_{2,t}}(\text{Der}_1^0 \mathfrak{n}_{2,t})$:

- $t = 1, 2$: $k \cdot id_{2,t}$
- $t = 3$: $C_{\text{Der } \mathfrak{n}_{2,t}}(\text{Der}_1^0 \mathfrak{n}_{2,t}) = k \cdot id_{2,t} \oplus k \cdot \text{ad } \omega_0$, where $\omega_0 = [v_0, v_1]$.

Up to isomorphisms, $\delta = id_{2,t}$, so

$$\mathfrak{g}(id_{2,t}) = \mathfrak{g}_{2,t} \oplus k \cdot id_{2,t}$$

is the unique possibility for $t = 1, 2, 3$.

Non-solvable extensions of type $\mathfrak{g}(\delta)$ (item c) 2nd main result)

The centralizer $C_{\text{Der } \mathfrak{n}_{2,t}}(\text{Der}_1^0 \mathfrak{n}_{2,t})$:

- $t = 1, 2$: $k \cdot id_{2,t}$
- $t = 3$: $C_{\text{Der } \mathfrak{n}_{2,t}}(\text{Der}_1^0 \mathfrak{n}_{2,t}) = k \cdot id_{2,t} \oplus k \cdot \text{ad } \omega_0$, where $\omega_0 = [v_0, v_1]$.

Up to isomorphisms, $\delta = id_{2,t}$, so

$$\mathfrak{g}(id_{2,t}) = \mathfrak{g}_{2,t} \oplus k \cdot id_{2,t}$$

is the unique possibility for $t = 1, 2, 3$.