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## The Cartan-Killing classification

Up to choice of cover and real form, the simple Lie groups are:

- Three infinite families, $\mathrm{SO}(n), \mathrm{SU}(n)$, and $\mathrm{Sp}(n)$.
- Five exceptions:

$$
\mathrm{G}_{2}, \quad \mathrm{~F}_{4}, \quad \mathrm{E}_{6}, \quad \mathrm{E}_{7}, \quad \mathrm{E}_{8} .
$$
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- The infinite families are the respective symmetry groups of $\mathbb{R}^{n}, \mathbb{C}^{n}, \mathbb{H}^{n}$ with inner product.
- Where do the exceptions come from? They're all related to (1).


## The split real form of $\mathrm{G}_{2}$

We will relate two models for the split real form of $G_{2}$, both essentially due to Cartan:

- $\mathrm{G}_{2}=\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{O}^{\prime}\right)$, where $\mathbb{O}^{\prime}$ is the 'split octonions'.
- $\mathfrak{g}_{2}=\operatorname{Lie}\left(\mathrm{G}_{2}\right)$ acts locally as symmetries of one ball rolling on another without slipping or twisting, provided the ratio of radii is 3:1 or 1:3.
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Relating the two will explain


## Split octonions

... are pairs of quaternions:
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\mathbb{O}^{\prime}=\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}
$$

with product $(a, b)(c, d)=(a c+\bar{d} b, \bar{a} d+c b)$.

## Split octonions

.... are pairs of quaternions:

$$
\mathbb{O}^{\prime}=\mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}
$$

with product $(a, b)(c, d)=(a c+\bar{d} b, \bar{a} d+c b)$.
They form a composition algebra: there is a quadratic form $Q$ on $\mathbb{O}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
Q(x y)=Q(x) Q(y), \quad x, y \in \mathbb{O}^{\prime}
$$

On pairs of quaternions, this is given by:

$$
Q(a, b)=|a|^{2}-|b|^{2}, \quad(a, b) \in \mathbb{H} \oplus \mathbb{H}
$$

## $\mathrm{G}_{2}$ acts on ...

- $\mathbb{O}^{\prime}$, fixing $1 \in \mathbb{O}^{\prime}$ and preserving $Q$;
- $\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathbb{O}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{Im}(\mathbb{H}) \oplus \mathbb{H}$, the subspace orthogonal to 1 ;
- $C=\left\{x \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\mathbb{O}^{\prime}\right): Q(x)=0\right\}$, the space of null vectors in $\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathbb{O}^{\prime}\right)$;
- $\mathrm{PC}=1 \mathrm{~d}$ null subspaces of $\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathbb{O}^{\prime}\right)$, the projectivization of $C$.
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We will see that this last space is closely related to the rolling ball, provided the ratio of radii is $1: 3$.

## Rolling balls

The configuration space of the rolling ball is $S^{2} \times \mathrm{SO}(3)$.


Figure : Bor and Montgomery, 2009.
We will consider a ball of unit radius rolling on a fixed ball of radius $R$, and see why $R=3$ is special.

## Without slipping or twisting

We encode the constraint in an incidence geometry, a barebones geometry with points, lines, and an incidence relation, telling us which points lie on which lines.
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We encode the constraint in an incidence geometry, a barebones geometry with points, lines, and an incidence relation, telling us which points lie on which lines.

There is an incidence geometry with:

- Points are elements of $S^{2} \times \mathrm{SO}(3)$;
- Lines are given by rolling without slipping or twisting along great circles.
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## Without slipping or twisting

If the central angle changes by $\theta$, the rolling ball rotates by $(R+1) \theta$.

- Points are elements of $S^{2} \times \mathrm{SO}(3)$;
- Lines are given by subsets of the form:

$$
L=\{(\cos (\theta) u+\sin (\theta) v, \mathbf{R}(u \times v,(R+1) \theta) g): \theta \in \mathbb{R}\}
$$

where $u, v$ are orthonormal, $g \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$ and $\mathbf{R}(w, \alpha)$ denotes the right-handed rotation about the $w$-axis by angle $\alpha$.

## Hiding inside $\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathbb{O}^{\prime}\right) \ldots$
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## Hiding inside $\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathbb{O}^{\prime}\right) \ldots$

Remember:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{PC} & =1 \mathrm{~d} \text { null subspaces of } \operatorname{Im}\left(\mathbb{O}^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\left\{\langle x\rangle: \text { nonzero } x \in \operatorname{Im}\left(\mathbb{O}^{\prime}\right), Q(x)=0\right\} \\
& =\left\{\langle(a, b)\rangle \text { : nonzero }(a, b) \in \operatorname{Im}(\mathbb{H}) \oplus \mathbb{H},|a|^{2}=|b|^{2}\right\} \\
& =\frac{S^{2} \times S^{3}}{(a, b) \sim(-a,-b)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This last space

$$
\frac{S^{2} \times S^{3}}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}}
$$

tells us PC is awfully similar to the rolling ball configuration space:

$$
S^{2} \times \mathrm{SO}(3) .
$$

## Hiding inside $\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathbb{O}^{\prime}\right) \ldots$

## Recall:

- $S^{3} \subset \mathbb{H}$ is the group of unit quaternions.
- $\frac{S^{3}}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} \cong \mathrm{SO}(3)$.
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## Hiding inside $\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathbb{O}^{\prime}\right) \ldots$

Recall:

- $S^{3} \subset \mathbb{H}$ is the group of unit quaternions.
- $\frac{S^{3}}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} \cong \mathrm{SO}(3)$.
- Alas:

$$
\frac{S^{2} \times S^{3}}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} \not \equiv S^{2} \times \mathrm{SO}(3)
$$

- Instead:

$$
\frac{S^{2} \times S^{3}}{\mathbb{Z}_{2}} \cong \mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{2} \times S^{3}
$$

We will think of $\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{2} \times S^{3}$ as the configuration space of a spinor rolling on a projective plane.

## Spinor rolling on a projective plane

- $\mathbb{R} P^{2}$ is $S^{2}$ with antipodal points identified; so instead of one ball, we consider a pair, rolling in sync.
- The ball is a spinor: it is rotated by elements of $S^{3}$ instead of $\mathrm{SO}(3)$. Since

$$
S^{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(3)
$$

is a double-cover, it takes a $720^{\circ}$ rotation to get back where you started.

## Spinor rolling on a projective plane



## Without slipping or twisting

There is an incidence geometry where:

- Points are elements of $\mathbb{R}^{2} \times S^{3}$.
- Lines are given by a spinor rolling without slipping or twisting along lines of $\mathbb{R} \mathrm{P}^{2}$.


## Without slipping or twisting

There is an incidence geometry where:

- Points are elements of $\mathbb{R} P^{2} \times S^{3}$.
- Lines are given by a spinor rolling without slipping or twisting along lines of $\mathbb{R} P^{2}$. Explicitly, lines are given by subsets of the form:

$$
\left.L=\left\{\left( \pm e^{\theta w} u, e^{\frac{R+1}{2} \theta w} q\right)\right): \theta \in \mathbb{R}\right\}
$$

where $u, w$ are orthonormal, $q \in S^{3}$ and the exponentiation takes place in $\mathbb{H}$.

## When $R=3$

Remember, $\mathbb{R P}^{2} \times S^{3} \cong \mathrm{PC}$, the space of null 1 d subspaces in $\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathbb{O}^{\prime}\right)$.
Theorem
If and only if $R=3$, the incidence geometry of a spinor rolling on a projective plane coincides with the incidence geometry where

- Points are 1d null subspaces of $\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathbb{O}^{\prime}\right)$, i.e. elements of $P C$.
- Lines are $2 d$ null subspaces of $\operatorname{Im}\left(\mathbb{O}^{\prime}\right)$ on which the product vanishes.
$\mathrm{G}_{2}$ acts as symmetries of this incidence geometry, hence of the spinor rolling on the projective plane when $R=3$.


## Coda

- A spinor needs to turn twice to get back where it started.
- On a projective plane, we get back where we started by going half way around.
- For what ratio of radii do we turn twice as we roll half way around?
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