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## OUTLINE

- Convex sets and barycentric algebras: basic definitions, examples and properties
- Threshold convexity and the problem of axiomatization of convexity
- Threshold barycentric algebras and their varieties
- Beyond threshold barycentric algebras


## BARYCENTRIC ALGEBRAS

$\mathbb{R}$ - the field of reals;
$\left.I^{\circ}:=\right] 0,1[=(0,1) \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Barycentric algebra - an algebra $\left(A, \underline{I}^{\circ}\right)$,
with a binary operation $\underline{p}$ for each operator $p \in I^{\circ}$, axiomatized by the following:
idempotence (I): $\quad x x \underline{p}=x$,
skew-commutativity (SC):
$x y \underline{p}=x y \underline{1-p}=: x y \underline{p}^{\prime}$,
skew-associativity (SA):
$[x y \underline{p}] z \underline{q}=x[y z \underline{q /(p \circ q)}] \underline{p \circ q}$
for all $p, q \in I^{\circ}$, where
$p \circ q=\left(p^{\prime} q^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}=p+q-p q$.

Skew associativity is also written as:
$[x y \underline{p}] z \underline{q}=x[y z \underline{(p \circ q \rightarrow q)}] \underline{p \circ q}$
where

$$
p \rightarrow q= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } p=0 \\ q / p & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Proposition The class $\mathcal{B}$ of barycentric algebras is a variety.
$\mathcal{B}$ also satisfies:
entropicity ( E ):
$[x y \underline{p}][z t \underline{p}] \underline{q}=[x z q][y t \underline{q}] \underline{p}$
and
distributivity (D):
$[x y \underline{p}] z q=[x z q][y z \underline{q}] \underline{p}$,
$x[y z \underline{p}] \underline{q}=[x y \underline{q}][x z \underline{q}] \underline{p}$,
for all $p, q \in I^{\circ}$.

## EXAMPLES

- Convex subsets of real spaces under the operations

$$
x y \underline{p}=x p^{\prime}+y p=x(1-p)+y p
$$

for each $p \in I^{\circ}$.
Convex sets form the subquasivariety $\mathcal{C}$ of the variety $\mathcal{B}$ defined by the cancellation laws

$$
(x y \underline{p}=x z \underline{p}) \rightarrow(y=z)
$$

for all operations $\underline{p}$ of $\underline{I}^{\circ}$.

- "Stammered" semilattices ( $S, \cdot$ ) with the operation $x \cdot y=x y p$ for all $p \in I^{\circ}$.
They form the subvariety $\mathcal{S L}$ of $\mathcal{B}$ defined by $x y \underline{p}=x y \underline{r}$ for all $p, r \in I^{\circ}$.
- Certain sums of convex sets over semilattices.

THEOREM Each barycentric algebra is a subalgebra of a Płonka sum of convex sets over its semilattice replica.

## EXTENDED BARYCENTRIC ALGEBRAS

Barycentric algebras may be considered as extended barycentric algebras $(A, \underline{I})$, where $I=[0,1] \subset \mathbb{R}$, and with the operations $\underline{0}$ and $\underline{1}$ defined by

$$
x y \underline{0}=x \text { and } x y \underline{1}=y
$$

Proposition The class $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ of extended barycentric algebras is a variety, specified by the identities (I), (SC), (SA) and the two above.

Examples: convex sets and semilattices considered as usual barycentric algebras, with two additional operations $\underline{0}$ and $\underline{1}$.

## THRESHOLD CONVEXITY

Given a real number $0 \leq t \leq 1 / 2$, known as the threshold.

For elements $x, y$ of a convex set $C$, define

$$
x y \underline{\underline{r}}= \begin{cases}x & \text { if } r<t ; \\ x y \underline{r}=x(1-r)+y r & \text { if } t \leq r \leq t^{\prime} ; \\ y & \text { if } r>t^{\prime}\end{cases}
$$

for $0<r<1$. Then the binary operations $\underline{\underline{r}}$ are described as threshold-convex combinations (small, moderate and large).

Let $\underline{\underline{I}}^{\circ}=\left\{\underline{\underline{r}} \mid r \in I^{\circ}\right\}$.
Proposition Convex sets ( $C, \underline{\underline{I}}^{\circ}$ ) are idempotent, skew-commutative and entropic algebras.

## KEIMEL'S QUESTION

Keimel's question: Can the skew associativity be replaced by the entropic law?

THEOREM In the specification of barycentric algebras, the axiom of skew-associativity cannot be replaced by the axiom of entropicity.

Counterexample is given for $t=1 / 2$ by the algebra ( $I, \underline{\underline{I}}^{\circ}$ ), which is not skew-associative.

For $p=q=1 / 2$,
$p \circ q=3 / 4$ and $p \circ q \rightarrow q=2 / 3$.
Then for $x=y=0$,
$(x y 1 / 2) z 1 / 2=1 / 2$ and
$x[y z(\underline{(p \circ q) \rightarrow q)}] \underline{\underline{p \circ q}}=1$.

## THRESHOLD BARYCENTRIC ALGEBRAS

Given a threshold $0 \leq t \leq 1 / 2$.
$\mathcal{B}^{t}$ - the variety of threshold- $t$ barycentric algebras, generated by the convex sets $\left(C, \underline{\underline{I}}^{\circ}\right)$.

## Examples:

- $\mathcal{B}^{0}=\mathcal{B}$
- $\mathcal{B}^{1 / 2}$
with $\underline{\underline{r}}=\underline{0}$ for $r<1 / 2$ and $\underline{\underline{r}}=\underline{1}$ for $r>1 / 2$
Proposition $\mathcal{B}^{1 / 2}$ is equivalent to the variety $\overline{\mathcal{C B M}}$ of commutative binary modes (commutative idempotent entropic groupoids) extended by the trivial operations $\underline{0}$ and $\underline{1}$.
- $\mathcal{B}^{t}$ for $t \neq 0,1 / 2$
with $\underline{\underline{r}}=\underline{r}$ for $t \leq r \leq t^{\prime}$,
$\underline{\underline{r}}=\underline{0}$ for $r<t$ and
$\underline{\underline{r}}=\underline{1}$ for $r>t^{\prime}$.
THEOREM The variety $\mathcal{B}^{t}$ is skew-associative if and only if $t=0$.


## SIMPLICES

$\Delta_{k}$ - the real $k$-dimensional simplex

- the free barycentric algebra in $\mathcal{B}$ over the set $X=\left\{x_{0}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$ of its vertices.

Proposition Let $0<t<1 / 2$ be a threshold. Then the closed unit interval ( $I, \underline{\underline{I}}^{\circ}$ ) is generated by $\{0,1\}$.

THEOREM Let $0<t<1 / 2$ be a threshold. Then each simplex $\left(\Delta_{k}, \underline{\underline{I}}^{\circ}\right)$ is generated by its vertices.

Corollary Each simplex $\Delta_{k}$ is generated by its vertices under the moderate threshold-convex combinations.

## VARIETIES

THEOREM Set a threshold $0<t<1 / 2$. Then each variety $\mathcal{B}^{t}$ is equivalent to the variety $\overline{\mathcal{B}}$ of extended barycentric algebras.

THEOREM For a threshold $0<t<1 / 2$, the variety $\mathcal{B}^{t}$ is defined by the following identities:
(a) Idempotence, skew-commutativity and entropicity for all operations of $\underline{\underline{I}}^{\circ}$;
(b) The identity $x y \underline{\underline{r}}=x$ for all $r<t$;
(c) The identity $x y \underline{\underline{r}}=y$ for all $r>t^{\prime}$;
(d) Skew-associativity for (derived) binary
operations generated by the moderate operations $\underline{\underline{p}}$ for $t \leq p \leq t^{\prime}$.

## THRESHOLD SEMILATTICES

Proposition The variety $\mathcal{B}$ of barycentric algebras contains only one proper non-trivial subvariety, namely the variety $\mathcal{S L}$ of
(stammered) semilattices.

Proposition Let $0 \leq t \leq 1 / 2$ be a threshold. Then the variety $\mathcal{B}^{t}$ of threshold- $t$ barycentric algebras contains only one proper non-trivial subvariety, namely the variety $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}^{t}$ of threshold$t$ semilattices.

For each $t>0$, the variety $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}^{t}$ is defined by:

- equality between the respective moderate operations $p$, for $t \leq p \leq t^{\prime}$;
- associativity for each moderate operation $\underline{\underline{p}}$ for $t \leq p \leq t^{\prime}$.
$\mathcal{S} \mathcal{L}^{t}$ is equivalent to the variety $\overline{\mathcal{S L}}$ of extended semilattices.


## BEYOND THRESHOLD BARYCENTRIC ALGEBRAS

Set thresholds $0 \leq s<t \leq 1 / 2$.
$\mathcal{B}^{s, t}$ - the variety of idempotent, entropic, skew-commutative $\underline{I}^{\circ}$-algebras defined by the following identities:

- $x y \underline{\underline{p}}=x$ for all $p<s$;
- $x y p=y$ for all $p>s^{\prime}$;
- all identities true in the reducts $\left(C,\left[t, t^{\prime}\right]\right)$ of threshold- $t$ convex sets ( $C, \underline{\underline{I}}^{\circ}$ ) with respect to moderate threshold combinations.

THEOREM For $t \in[0,1 / 2]$, the varieties $\mathcal{B}^{t}$ of threshold- $t$ barycentric algebras form an antichain.

- The meet of any two of them is the trivial variety $\mathcal{T}$.
- For thresholds $0 \leq s<t \leq 1 / 2$, the join $\mathcal{B}^{s} \vee \mathcal{B}^{t}$ of the varieties $\mathcal{B}^{s}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{t}$ is equal to the variety $\mathcal{B}^{s, t}$.
$\mathrm{L}(\mathcal{V})-$
the lattice of subvarieties of a variety $\mathcal{V}$.
Then $\mathbf{L}\left(\mathcal{B}^{1 / 2}\right) \cong \mathrm{L}(\mathcal{C B M})$;
$\mathrm{L}(\mathcal{C B M}) \backslash \mathcal{C B M} \cong 2 \times(\mathbb{N}, \mid)$.
Each proper subvariety of $\mathcal{C B M}$ is defined by one binary identity.

Corollary Let $0 \leq s, t, u, w \leq 1 / 2$ be thresholds, and let $s<t$ and $u<w$. Then the variety $\mathcal{B}^{s, t}$ is a subvariety of $\mathcal{B}^{u, w}$ if and only if $u \leq s<t \leq w$.

Corollary The join of the varieties $\mathcal{B}^{s, t}$ is the variety $\mathcal{B}^{0,1 / 2}$, equivalent to the variety $\mathcal{C B M}$ of commutative binary modes.
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