

1. (25 points) Suppose X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n is a sample from a $\text{Expo}(1/\theta)$ distribution, and let Y_1, \dots, Y_n denote the order statistics of the sample. a. (10 pts) Find the constant c so that cY_1 is an unbiased estimator of θ .

$$f(x, \theta) = \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-x/\theta} \quad F(x, \theta) = \int_0^x \frac{1}{\theta} e^{-t/\theta} = 1 - e^{-x/\theta}$$

$$f_{Y_1}(y) = \frac{n}{\theta} e^{-(n/\theta)y}$$

$$Y_1 \sim \text{expo}\left(\frac{n}{\theta}\right)$$

$$E[Y_1] = \frac{\theta}{n} \quad E[cY_1] = \theta$$

$$\boxed{c = n}$$

b. (10 pts) Using the knowledge that $Z = \sum X_i$ is a sufficient statistic for θ , find an MVUE for θ . Explain why you know that your estimator is an MVUE. (You need not use Part (a)).

$$E[X_i] = \theta,$$

$E\left[\frac{Z}{n}\right] = \theta$, as this is a function of Z ; sufficient (and complete as X_i is a reg. exponential family) and unbiased. This is an MVUE.

c. (5 pts) Compute the variance of the estimators you found in (a) and (b).

$$\text{Var}(nY_1) = n^2 \text{Var}(Y_1) = n^2 \frac{\theta^2}{n^2} = \theta^2$$

$$\text{Var}\left(\frac{Z}{n}\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \text{Var}\left(\sum X_i\right) = \frac{\theta^2}{n}$$

2. (25 points) a. (15 pts) Suppose X_1, \dots, X_n are $N(\mu, \sigma)$ where both μ and σ are unknown. Supposing $\bar{X} = 1$, $S^2 = 2.5$ and $n = 100$, construct an exact 95% confidence interval for σ^2 .

Recall: $\frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi^2(n-1)$ in this case.

$$\therefore P\left(\chi^2_{.025}(99) \leq \frac{(n-1)S^2}{\sigma^2} \leq \chi^2_{.975}(99)\right) = .95$$

So:

$$\left(\frac{99 \cdot 2.5}{\chi^2_{.975}(99)}, \frac{99(2.5)}{\chi^2_{.025}(99)} \right) \text{ is a } 95\% \text{ confidence interval for } \sigma^2$$

b. (10 pts) Suppose X_1, \dots, X_n are a sample from an unknown distribution. If $\bar{X} = 1$, $S^2 = 2.5$ and $n = 100$, construct an approximate 95% confidence interval for $\sigma^2 = \text{Var}(X_i)$.

Actually, follows same way only
now not exact

$$\left(\frac{99 \cdot 2.5}{\chi^2_{.975}(99)}, \frac{99(2.5)}{\chi^2_{.025}(99)} \right)$$

I need to make this more interesting
- but didn't.

3. (15 points) A Weibull distribution is a distribution with $f(x) = \frac{1}{\theta^3} 3x^2 e^{-x^3/\theta^3}$ for $0 < x < \infty$. Suppose we know how to generate uniform $(0, 1)$ random variables U , explain how to generate a random variable with the Weibull distribution using U .

$$F(x) = \int_0^x \frac{1}{\theta^3} 3t^2 e^{-t^3/\theta^3} dt = \int_0^{x^3/\theta^3} e^{-u} du = -e^{-u} \Big|_0^{x^3/\theta^3}$$

$$u = t^3/\theta^3$$

$$du = 3t^2/\theta^3$$

$$= 1 - e^{-x^3/\theta^3}$$

~~U~~ $y = 1 - e^{-x^3/\theta^3}$

$$e^{-x^3/\theta^3} = 1 - y$$

$$-x^3/\theta^3 = \ln(1 - y)$$

$$\frac{x}{\theta} = \ln\left(\frac{1}{1 - y}\right)^{1/3}$$

$$x = \theta \ln\left(\frac{1}{1 - y}\right)^{1/3}$$

$X = \theta \ln\left(\frac{1}{1 - U}\right)^{1/3}$ has Weibull distribution

4. (30 points) Let X_1, \dots, X_n have the Poisson(θ) distribution. a. (20 pts) Show \bar{X} is an efficient estimator of θ . (You may use the fact that $\text{Var}(\bar{X}) = \theta/n$, and need not compute it.)

$$f(x; \theta) = \frac{e^{-\theta} \theta^x}{k!}$$

$$\log(f(x; \theta)) = -\theta + x \log(\theta) - \log(k!)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} = -1 + \frac{x}{\theta}$$

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2} = -\frac{x}{\theta^2}$$

$$I(\theta) = -\mathbb{E}\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial \theta^2}\right] = \frac{1}{\theta}$$

$$k(\theta) = \mathbb{E}[\bar{X}] = \theta \quad k'(\theta) = 1$$

R-C Lower bound

$$\frac{k'(\theta)}{n I(\theta)} = \frac{1}{n \cdot \frac{1}{\theta}} = \frac{\theta}{n} = \text{Var}(\bar{X}), \text{ so this is efficient.}$$

b. (10 pts) Show that \bar{X} is a complete and sufficient statistic for θ .

$$f(x; \theta) = e^{-\theta} \frac{\theta^x}{k!} = e^{-\theta} \theta^x \frac{1}{k!}$$

This is a regular exponential family, so $\sum X_i \theta = \sum k! \theta$ is complete / sufficient.

$$\therefore \text{so is } \frac{\sum X_i}{n} = \bar{X}$$

5. (25 points) Let X_1, \dots, X_n be a random sample from a $\Gamma(\alpha, \beta)$ distribution where α is known and β is not. a. (10 pts) Determine the likelihood ratio test for $H_0: \beta = \beta_0$, against $H_1: \beta \neq \beta_0$. In particular, suppose $Z = -2 \log \Lambda$. For what values of Z should we reject H_0 and accept H_1 if we want a test with approximate size .99.

$$-2 \log \Lambda \approx \chi^2_{(1)}$$

\therefore Reject if $Z \geq 6.635$

b. (10 pts) Find a uniformly most powerful test for $H_0: \beta = \beta_0$ versus $H_1: \beta > \beta_0$.

$$f(x; \beta) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha) \beta^\alpha} x^{\alpha-1} \exp(-x/\beta)$$

$\frac{L(x; \beta_0)}{L(x; \beta_1)} = \left(\frac{\beta_0}{\beta_1}\right)^{n\alpha} \exp\left(\frac{\sum X_i}{\beta_0} - \frac{\sum X_i}{\beta_1}\right)$ This is a decreasing function of $\sum X_i$ for any $\beta_1 > \beta_0$ so uniformly most powerful test is accept H_1 when $\sum X_i \geq c$.

c. (5 pts) Is there a uniformly most powerful test of $H_0: \beta = \beta_0$ versus $H_1: \beta \neq \beta_0$? Why or why not?

No: $-\frac{\sum X_i}{\beta_0} + \frac{\sum X_i}{\beta_1}$ is negative if $\beta_1 > \beta_0$
positive if $\beta_1 < \beta_0$

\therefore Best test is: $\sum X_i \geq c$ if $\beta_1 > \beta_0$

$\sum X_i \leq c$ if $\beta_1 < \beta_0$

\therefore No uniformly most powerful test

6. (25 points) Suppose X_1, \dots, X_n are a sample from the following distributions. Find an mle $\hat{\theta}$ of θ .

a. (10 pts) $f(x; \theta) = (1/\theta)e^{-x/\theta}$, $0 < x < \infty$, and $0 < \theta < \infty$, zero elsewhere.

$$L(\theta) = \left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right)^n e^{-\sum x_i / \theta}$$

$$l(\theta) = n \log\left(\frac{1}{\theta}\right) - \frac{\sum x_i}{\theta}$$

$$l'(\theta) = -\frac{n}{\theta} + \frac{\sum x_i}{\theta^2}$$

$$l'(\theta) = 0 \Rightarrow n\theta = \sum x_i$$

$$\hat{\theta} = \bar{x}$$

b. (15 pts) $f(x; \theta) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta}} e^{-\frac{1}{2\theta}x^2}$, for $-\infty < x < \infty$ and where $1 \leq \theta \leq 2$.

$$L(\theta) = \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\theta}}\right)^n e^{-\frac{1}{2\theta}\sum x_i^2}$$

$$l(\theta) = -\frac{n}{2} \log(2\pi) - \frac{n}{2} \log(\theta) - \frac{1}{2\theta} \sum x_i^2$$

$$l'(\theta) = -\frac{n}{2\theta} + \frac{1}{2\theta^2} \sum x_i^2$$

$$l'(\theta) = 0 \Rightarrow \frac{n}{2}\theta = \frac{1}{2} \sum x_i^2$$

$$\hat{\theta} = \frac{\sum x_i^2}{n}$$

7. (25 points) Let X_1, \dots, X_n denote a random sample from a distribution of pdf $\theta e^{-\theta x}$, for $0 < x < \infty$ and zero elsewhere, and $\theta < 0$. $\sum x_i$ is a sufficient statistic (and complete) for θ . Show $(n-1)/Y$ is the MVUE of θ .

Hint: What is the distribution of $\sum X_i$.

$$X_i \sim \text{exp}(\theta) = \Gamma\left(1, \frac{1}{\theta}\right)$$

$$Y \sim \Gamma\left(n, \frac{1}{\theta}\right)$$

$$E\left[\frac{1}{Y}\right] = \theta \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\theta^{n-1}}{(n-1)!} y^{n-2} e^{-y/\theta} dy$$

$$= \frac{\theta(n-2)!}{(n-1)!} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{\theta^{n-2}}{(n-2)!} y^{n-2} e^{-y/\theta} dy$$

1 as integrating pdf of $\Gamma(n-1, \frac{1}{\theta})$

$$= \frac{\theta}{n-1}$$

$\therefore E\left[\frac{n-1}{Y}\right] = \theta$, so this is an unbiased estimator which is a function of a complete and sufficient statistic, \therefore is an MVUE.

8. (25 points) The Pareto distribution has CDF

$$F(x; \theta_1, \theta_2) = \begin{cases} 1 - (\theta_1/x)^{\theta_2} & x \geq \theta_1 \\ 0 & \text{else.} \end{cases}$$

Find the mles of θ_1 and θ_2 .

Note: I gave you the CDF!

$$f(x; \theta_1, \theta_2) = \theta_2 \left(\frac{\theta_1}{x}\right)^{\theta_2-1} \cdot \frac{\theta_1}{x^2}$$

$$= \theta_2 \frac{\theta_1^{\theta_2}}{x^{\theta_2+1}}$$

$$L(x; \theta_1, \theta_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{\theta_2^n \theta_1^{\theta_2 n}}{(\prod x_i)^{\theta_2+1}} \\ 0 & \text{if } \min(x_i) > \theta_1 \end{cases}$$

$\therefore L(x; \theta_1, \theta_2)$ increasing function of θ_1, \dots $\hat{\theta}_1 = \min(x_i)$

$$l(x; \theta_1, \theta_2) = n \log(\theta_2) + n \theta_2 \log(\theta_1) - (\theta_2+1) \log(\prod x_i)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_2} l(x; \theta_1, \theta_2) = \frac{n}{\theta_2} + n \log(\theta_1) - \log(\prod x_i)$$

$$\therefore \hat{\theta}_2 = \frac{-n}{n \log(\theta_1) - \log(\prod x_i)} = \frac{n}{\sum (\log(x_i) - \log(\theta_1))}$$