

# Mutually Orthogonal Latin Squares: Covering and Packing Analogues

Charles J. Colbourn<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>School of Computing, Informatics, and Decision Systems Engineering  
Arizona State University

Mile High Conference, 15 August 2013

MOLS

IMOLS

Relaxing

Covering Arrays

# Latin Squares

## Definition

A *latin square* of side  $n$  (or order  $n$ ) is an  $n \times n$  array in which each cell contains a single symbol from an  $n$ -set  $S$ , such that each symbol occurs exactly once in each row and exactly once in each column.

1	0	3	4	5	6	7	2
2	3	5	0	6	7	4	1
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
3	4	0	7	1	2	5	6
4	5	6	1	7	0	2	3
5	6	7	2	0	3	1	4
6	7	4	5	2	1	3	0
7	2	1	6	3	4	0	5

# Latin Squares

## Definition

A *latin square* of side  $n$  (or order  $n$ ) is an  $n \times n$  array in which each cell contains a single symbol from an  $n$ -set  $S$ , such that each symbol occurs exactly once in each row and exactly once in each column.

1	0	3	4	5	6	7	2
2	3	5	0	6	7	4	1
0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
3	4	0	7	1	2	5	6
4	5	6	1	7	0	2	3
5	6	7	2	0	3	1	4
6	7	4	5	2	1	3	0
7	2	1	6	3	4	0	5

# Latin Squares

- ▶ Applying any permutation to the rows yields a latin square.
- ▶ The same for columns, and for symbols.

# Mutually Orthogonal Latin Squares

## Definition

Two latin squares  $L$  and  $L'$  of the same order are *orthogonal* if  $L(a, b) = L(c, d)$  and  $L'(a, b) = L'(c, d)$ , implies  $a = c$  and  $b = d$ .

An equivalent definition for orthogonality: Two latin squares of side  $n$ ,  $L = (a_{i,j})$  (on symbol set  $S$ ) and  $L' = (b_{i,j})$  (on symbol set  $S'$ ), are *orthogonal* if every element in  $S \times S'$  occurs exactly once among the  $n^2$  pairs  $(a_{i,j}, b_{i,j})$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ .

## Definition

A set of latin squares  $L_1, \dots, L_m$  is *mutually orthogonal*, or a set of *MOLS*, if for every  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ ,  $L_i$  and  $L_j$  are orthogonal. These are also referred to as *POLS*, *pairwise orthogonal* latin squares.

# Mutually Orthogonal Latin Squares

## Definition

Two latin squares  $L$  and  $L'$  of the same order are *orthogonal* if  $L(a, b) = L(c, d)$  and  $L'(a, b) = L'(c, d)$ , implies  $a = c$  and  $b = d$ .

An equivalent definition for orthogonality: Two latin squares of side  $n$ ,  $L = (a_{i,j})$  (on symbol set  $S$ ) and  $L' = (b_{i,j})$  (on symbol set  $S'$ ), are *orthogonal* if every element in  $S \times S'$  occurs exactly once among the  $n^2$  pairs  $(a_{i,j}, b_{i,j})$ ,  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ .

## Definition

A set of latin squares  $L_1, \dots, L_m$  is *mutually orthogonal*, or a set of *MOLS*, if for every  $1 \leq i < j \leq m$ ,  $L_i$  and  $L_j$  are orthogonal. These are also referred to as *POLS*, *pairwise orthogonal latin squares*.

# Mutually Orthogonal Latin Squares

Mutually  
Orthogonal Latin  
Squares: Covering  
and Packing  
Analogues

Charles J.  
Colbourn

MOLS

IMOLS

Relaxing

Covering Arrays

1	2	3	4
4	3	2	1
2	1	4	3
3	4	1	2

1	2	3	4
3	4	1	2
4	3	2	1
2	1	4	3

1	2	3	4
2	1	4	3
3	4	1	2
4	3	2	1

# Orthogonal Arrays

## Definition

An *orthogonal array*  $OA(k, s)$  is a  $k \times s^2$  array with entries from an  $s$ -set  $S$  having the property that in any two rows, each (ordered) pair of symbols from  $S$  occurs exactly once.

## Construction

*Let  $\{L_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$  be a set of  $k$  MOLS on symbols  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . Form a  $(k + 2) \times n^2$  array  $A = (a_{ij})$  whose columns are  $(i, j, L_1(i, j), L_2(i, j), \dots, L_k(i, j))^T$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ . Then  $A$  is an orthogonal array,  $OA(k + 2, n)$ . This process can be reversed to recover  $k$  MOLS of side  $n$  from an  $OA(k + 2, n)$ , by choosing any two rows of the OA to index the rows and columns of the  $k$  squares.*

# Orthogonal Arrays

## Definition

An *orthogonal array*  $OA(k, s)$  is a  $k \times s^2$  array with entries from an  $s$ -set  $S$  having the property that in any two rows, each (ordered) pair of symbols from  $S$  occurs exactly once.

## Construction

Let  $\{L_i : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$  be a set of  $k$  MOLS on symbols  $\{1, \dots, n\}$ . Form a  $(k + 2) \times n^2$  array  $A = (a_{ij})$  whose columns are  $(i, j, L_1(i, j), L_2(i, j), \dots, L_k(i, j))^T$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ . Then  $A$  is an orthogonal array,  $OA(k + 2, n)$ . This process can be reversed to recover  $k$  MOLS of side  $n$  from an  $OA(k + 2, n)$ , by choosing any two rows of the  $OA$  to index the rows and columns of the  $k$  squares.

# Orthogonal Arrays

1	2	3	4
4	3	2	1
2	1	4	3
3	4	1	2

1	2	3	4
3	4	1	2
4	3	2	1
2	1	4	3

1	2	3	4
2	1	4	3
3	4	1	2
4	3	2	1

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1111222233334444 \\ 1234123412341234 \\ 1234432121433412 \\ 1234341243212143 \\ 1234214334124321 \end{pmatrix}$$

MOLS

IMOLS

Relaxing

Covering Arrays

# Transversal Designs

## Definition

A *transversal design* of order or *groupsize*  $n$ , *blocksize*  $k$ , and *index*  $\lambda$ , denoted  $\text{TD}_\lambda(k, n)$ , is a triple  $(V, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$ , where

1.  $V$  is a set of  $kn$  elements;
2.  $\mathcal{G}$  is a partition of  $V$  into  $k$  classes (the *groups*), each of size  $n$ ;
3.  $\mathcal{B}$  is a collection of  $k$ -subsets of  $V$  (the *blocks*);
4. every unordered pair of elements from  $V$  is contained either in exactly one group or in exactly  $\lambda$  blocks, but not both.

When  $\lambda = 1$ , one writes simply  $\text{TD}(k, n)$ .

# Transversal Designs

- ▶ Given a  $\text{TD}(n + 1, n)$ , delete a group and treat both blocks and groups as lines to get an *affine plane of order  $n$* . This can be reversed to get a  $\text{TD}(n + 1, n)$  from an affine plane.
- ▶ Given a  $\text{TD}(n + 1, n)$ , add a point  $\infty$ , treat blocks as lines, and add  $\infty$  to each group to form  $n + 1$  further lines, to get a *projective plane of order  $n$* . This can be reversed to get a  $\text{TD}(n + 1, n)$  from a projective plane.

# Transversal Designs

## Construction

*Let  $A$  be an  $OA(k, n)$  on the  $n$  symbols in  $X$ . On  $V = X \times \{1, \dots, k\}$  (a set of size  $kn$ ), form a set  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $k$ -sets as follows. For  $1 \leq j \leq n^2$ , include  $\{(a_{i,j}, i) : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$  in  $\mathcal{B}$ . Then let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the partition of  $V$  whose classes are  $\{X \times \{i\} : 1 \leq i \leq k\}$ . Then  $(V, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{B})$  is a  $TD(k, n)$ . This process can be reversed to recover an  $OA(k, n)$  from a  $TD(k, n)$ .*

# Transversal Designs

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1111222233334444 \\ 1234123412341234 \\ 1234432121433412 \\ 1234341243212143 \\ 1234214334124321 \end{pmatrix}$$

A TD(5, 4) derived from the OA(5, 4). On the element set  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\} \times \{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ , the blocks are

{ 11,12,13,14,15 }	{ 11,22,23,24,25 }	{ 11,32,33,34,35 }	{ 11,42,43,44,45 }
{ 21,12,43,34,25 }	{ 21,22,33,44,15 }	{ 21,32,23,14,45 }	{ 21,42,13,24,35 }
{ 31,12,23,44,35 }	{ 31,22,13,34,45 }	{ 31,32,43,24,15 }	{ 31,42,33,14,25 }
{ 41,12,33,24,45 }	{ 41,22,43,14,35 }	{ 41,32,13,44,25 }	{ 41,42,23,34,15 }

# Mutually Orthogonal Latin Squares

- ▶ MOLS are central objects in combinatorics.
- ▶ Starting with Euler in 1782, who considered for which sides there exist two MOLS of that side.
- ▶ But after hundreds of papers (and hundreds of years), determining  $N(n)$ , the largest number of MOLS of side  $n$  is very far from complete.
- ▶ (The smallest unknown value is still  $N(10)$ .)

# Mutually Orthogonal Latin Squares

- ▶  $N(n) \leq n - 1$ ; a simple counting argument.
- ▶  $N(n) = n - 1$  whenever  $n$  is a power of a prime; for example, over the finite field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , consider the  $q^2$  linear polynomials evaluated at the  $q + 1$  points from  $\mathbb{F}_q \cup \{\infty\}$ .
- ▶  $N(nm) \geq \min(N(n), N(m))$ ; a simple direct product.
- ▶ Recursive constructions: PBD closure, Wilson's constructions.
- ▶ Direct constructions: assume symmetries to limit computational search.

# Mutually Orthogonal Latin Squares

Current Bounds on  $N(n)$  for  $n < 100$ :

	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
0			1	2	3	4	1	6	7	8
10	2	10	5	12	3	4	15	16	3	18
20	4	5	3	22	7	24	4	26	5	28
30	4	30	31	5	4	5	8	36	4	5
40	7	40	5	42	5	6	4	46	8	48
50	6	5	5	52	5	6	7	7	5	58
60	4	60	5	6	63	7	5	66	5	6
70	6	70	7	72	5	7	6	6	6	78
80	9	80	8	82	6	6	6	6	7	88
90	6	7	6	6	6	6	7	96	6	8

MOLS

IMOLS

Relaxing

Covering Arrays

# Difference Matrices

## Definition

Let  $(G, \odot)$  be a group of order  $g$ . A  $(g, k; \lambda)$ -*difference matrix* is a  $k \times g\lambda$  matrix  $D = (d_{ij})$  with entries from  $G$ , so that for each  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ , the multiset

$$\{d_{i\ell} \odot d_{j\ell}^{-1} : 1 \leq \ell \leq g\lambda\}$$

(the *difference list*) contains every element of  $G$   $\lambda$  times. When  $G$  is abelian, typically additive notation is used, so that differences  $d_{i\ell} - d_{j\ell}$  are employed.

# Difference Matrices

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 2 & 5 & 7 & 9 & 12 & 4 & 1 \\ 6 & 3 & 14 & 10 & 7 & 13 & 4 \\ 10 & 6 & 1 & 11 & 2 & 7 & 12 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Append a column of zeroes to  $(B \mid -B)$  to get a  $(15, 5; 1)$ -difference matrix.

# Difference Matrices and MOLS

- ▶ Develop the columns of the difference matrix under the action of  $G$ .
- ▶ This gives  $g$  translates of the difference matrix.
- ▶ Add a new row placing the index of the translate in this row, to get a set of  $k - 1$  MOLS of side  $g$  (actually, an  $OA(k + 1, g)$ ).
- ▶ So our example gives four MOLS(15).

# Incomplete Latin Squares

## Definition

An *incomplete latin square*  $ILS(n; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_k)$  is an  $n \times n$  array  $A$  with entries from an  $n$ -set  $B$ , together with  $B_i \subseteq B$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  where  $|B_i| = b_i$  and  $B_i \cap B_j = \emptyset$  for  $1 \leq i, j \leq k$ . Moreover, each cell of  $A$  is empty or contains an element of  $B$ ; the subarrays indexed by  $B_i \times B_i$  are empty (these subarrays are *holes*); and the elements in row or column  $b$  are exactly those of  $B \setminus B_i$  if  $b \in B_i$ , and of  $B$  otherwise.

## Definition

Two incomplete latin squares ( $ILS(n; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s)$ ) are *orthogonal* if upon superimposition all ordered pairs in  $(B \times B) \setminus \cup_{i=1}^k (B_i \times B_i)$  result. Two such squares are  $IMOLS(n; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s)$ . Then  $r$ - $IMOLS(n; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s)$  denotes a set of  $r$   $ILS(n; b_1, b_2, \dots, b_s)$  that are pairwise orthogonal.

$r$ - $IMOLS(n; b_1, \dots, b_s)$  is equivalent to

1. an incomplete transversal design  
 $ITD(r + 2, n; b_1, \dots, b_s)$ ;
2. an incomplete orthogonal array  
 $IOA(r + 2, n; b_1, \dots, b_s)$ .

# Quasi-Difference Matrices

## Definition

Let  $G$  be an abelian group of order  $n$ . A  $(n, k; \lambda, \mu; u)$ -quasi-difference matrix (QDM) is a matrix  $Q = (q_{ij})$  with  $k$  rows and  $\lambda(n - 1 + 2u) + \mu$  columns, with each entry either empty (usually denoted by  $-$ ) or containing a single element of  $G$ . Each row contains exactly  $\lambda u$  empty entries, and each column contains at most one empty entry. Furthermore, for each  $1 \leq i < j \leq k$ , the multiset  $\{q_{i\ell} - q_{j\ell} : 1 \leq \ell \leq \lambda(n - 1 + 2u) + \mu, \text{ with } q_{i\ell} \text{ and } q_{j\ell} \text{ not empty}\}$  contains every nonzero element of  $G$   $\lambda$  times and contains  $0$   $\mu$  times.

# QDMs and Incomplete OAs

## Construction

*If a  $(n, k; \lambda, \mu; u)$ -QDM exists and  $\mu \leq \lambda$ , then an  $ITD_\lambda(k, n + u; u)$  exists. Start with a  $(n, k; \lambda, \mu; u)$ -QDM  $A$  over the group  $G$ . Append  $\lambda - \mu$  columns of zeroes. Then select  $u$  elements  $\infty_1, \dots, \infty_u$  not in  $G$ , and replace the empty entries  $(-)$ , each by one of these infinite symbols, so that  $\infty_i$  appears exactly once in each row, for  $1 \leq i \leq u$ . Develop the resulting matrix over the group  $G$  (leaving infinite symbols fixed), to obtain a  $k \times \lambda(n^2 + 2nu)$  matrix  $T$ . Then  $T$  is an incomplete orthogonal array with  $k$  rows and index  $\lambda$ , having  $n + u$  symbols and one hole of size  $u$ .*

# A QDM Example

Consider the matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} - & 10 & 1 & 2 & 6 & 3 & 22 & 5 & 7 & 9 & 14 & 18 & 28 \\ 0 & 1 & 10 & 20 & 23 & 30 & 35 & 13 & 33 & 16 & 29 & 32 & 21 \\ 0 & 26 & 26 & 15 & 8 & 4 & 17 & 19 & 34 & 12 & 31 & 24 & 25 \\ 10 & - & 10 & 6 & 2 & 22 & 3 & 7 & 5 & 14 & 9 & 28 & 18 \\ 1 & 0 & 26 & 23 & 20 & 35 & 30 & 33 & 13 & 29 & 16 & 21 & 32 \\ 26 & 0 & 1 & 8 & 15 & 17 & 4 & 34 & 19 & 31 & 12 & 25 & 24 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Each column  $(a, b, c, d, e, f)^T$  is replaced by columns  $(a, b, c, d, e, f)^T$ ,  $(b, c, a, f, d, e)^T$ , and  $(c, a, b, e, f, d)^T$  to obtain a  $(37, 6; 1, 1; 1)$  quasi-difference matrix (QDM). Fill the hole of size 1 in the incomplete OA to establish that  $N(38) \geq 4$ .

# $V(m, t)$ Vectors

## Definition

Let  $q$  be a prime power and let  $q = mt + 1$  for  $m, t$  integer. Let  $\omega$  be a primitive element of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . A  $V(m, t)$  vector is a vector  $(a_1, \dots, a_{m+1})$  for which, for each  $1 \leq k < m$ , the differences  $\{a_{i+k} - a_i : 1 \leq i \leq m+1, i+k \neq m+2\}$  represent the  $m$  cyclotomic classes of  $\mathbb{F}_{mt+1}$  (compute subscripts modulo  $m+2$ ).

$V(2, 3)$  example: (0 1 4)

# $V(m, t)$ Vectors

## Construction

A quasi-difference matrix from a  $V(m, t)$  vector. Starting with a  $V(m, t)$  vector  $(a_1, \dots, a_{m+1})$ , form a single column of length  $m + 2$  whose first entry is empty, and whose remaining entries are  $(a_1, \dots, a_{m+1})$ . Form  $t$  columns by multiplying this column by the powers of  $\omega^m$ . From each of these  $t$  columns, form  $m + 2$  columns by taking the  $m + 2$  cyclic shifts of the column. The result is a  $(q, m + 2; 1, 0; t)$ -QDM.

—	—	—	0	0	0	1	2	4	4	1	2
0	0	0	1	2	4	4	1	2	—	—	—
1	2	4	4	1	2	—	—	—	0	0	0
4	1	2	—	—	—	0	0	0	4	1	2

$(7, 4; 1, 0; 3)$ -QDM  $\Rightarrow$  2-IMOLS(10, 3) (and 2 MOLS(10)).

# Relaxing(?) the Requirements

- ▶ Beyond 'incomplete' objects, there are numerous relaxations of MOLS. For example,
  - ▶ Two latin squares of side  $n$  are  $r$ -orthogonal ( $n \leq r \leq n^2$ ) if their superposition has exactly  $r$  distinct ordered pairs.
  - ▶ Two  $n \times m$  latin rectangles are *orthogonal* if no pair occurs twice in their superposition. (And so to MOLR.)
  - ▶ etc. etc.
- ▶ But we will look here at packing and covering analogues, which can be treated most naturally in the orthogonal array vernacular.

# Orthogonal, Packing, and Covering Arrays

Mutually  
Orthogonal Latin  
Squares: Covering  
and Packing  
Analogues

Charles J.  
Colbourn

## Definition

A  $k \times N$  array on a set of  $v$  symbols is a **packing** or **orthogonal** or **covering** array when in every two rows, each (ordered) pair of symbols occurs **at most once** or **exactly once** or **at least once**.

Then  $N \leq v^2$  or  $N = v^2$  or  $N \geq v^2$ .

In the interests of time, we focus on covering arrays, first giving the more standard (and more general) definition.

MOLS

IMOLS

Relaxing

Covering Arrays

# Covering Array. Definition

- ▶ Let  $N$ ,  $k$ ,  $t$ , and  $v$  be positive integers.
- ▶ Let  $C$  be an  $N \times k$  array with entries from an alphabet  $\Sigma$  of size  $v$ ; we typically take  $\Sigma = \{0, \dots, v - 1\}$ .
- ▶ When  $(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_t)$  is a  $t$ -tuple with  $\nu_i \in \Sigma$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$ ,  $(c_1, \dots, c_t)$  is a tuple of  $t$  column indices ( $c_i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ ), and  $c_i \neq c_j$  whenever  $\nu_i \neq \nu_j$ , the  $t$ -tuple  $\{(c_i, \nu_i) : 1 \leq i \leq t\}$  is a  $t$ -way interaction.
- ▶ The array covers the  $t$ -way interaction  $\{(c_i, \nu_i) : 1 \leq i \leq t\}$  if, in at least one row  $\rho$  of  $C$ , the entry in row  $\rho$  and column  $c_i$  is  $\nu_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$ .
- ▶ Array  $C$  is a *covering array*  $CA(N; t, k, v)$  of *strength*  $t$  when every  $t$ -way interaction is covered.

# Covering Array. Definition

- ▶ Let  $N$ ,  $k$ ,  $t$ , and  $v$  be positive integers.
- ▶ Let  $C$  be an  $N \times k$  array with entries from an alphabet  $\Sigma$  of size  $v$ ; we typically take  $\Sigma = \{0, \dots, v - 1\}$ .
- ▶ When  $(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_t)$  is a  $t$ -tuple with  $\nu_i \in \Sigma$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$ ,  $(c_1, \dots, c_t)$  is a tuple of  $t$  column indices ( $c_i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ ), and  $c_i \neq c_j$  whenever  $\nu_i \neq \nu_j$ , the  $t$ -tuple  $\{(c_i, \nu_i) : 1 \leq i \leq t\}$  is a  $t$ -way *interaction*.
- ▶ The array *covers* the  $t$ -way interaction  $\{(c_i, \nu_i) : 1 \leq i \leq t\}$  if, in at least one row  $\rho$  of  $C$ , the entry in row  $\rho$  and column  $c_i$  is  $\nu_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$ .
- ▶ Array  $C$  is a *covering array*  $CA(N; t, k, v)$  of *strength*  $t$  when every  $t$ -way interaction is covered.

# Covering Array. Definition

- ▶ Let  $N$ ,  $k$ ,  $t$ , and  $v$  be positive integers.
- ▶ Let  $C$  be an  $N \times k$  array with entries from an alphabet  $\Sigma$  of size  $v$ ; we typically take  $\Sigma = \{0, \dots, v - 1\}$ .
- ▶ When  $(\nu_1, \dots, \nu_t)$  is a  $t$ -tuple with  $\nu_i \in \Sigma$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$ ,  $(c_1, \dots, c_t)$  is a tuple of  $t$  column indices ( $c_i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ ), and  $c_i \neq c_j$  whenever  $\nu_i \neq \nu_j$ , the  $t$ -tuple  $\{(c_i, \nu_i) : 1 \leq i \leq t\}$  is a  $t$ -way *interaction*.
- ▶ The array *covers* the  $t$ -way interaction  $\{(c_i, \nu_i) : 1 \leq i \leq t\}$  if, in at least one row  $\rho$  of  $C$ , the entry in row  $\rho$  and column  $c_i$  is  $\nu_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq t$ .
- ▶ Array  $C$  is a *covering array*  $CA(N; t, k, v)$  of *strength*  $t$  when every  $t$ -way interaction is covered.

# Covering Array. Example

2	0	1	1	0
0	2	0	1	1
1	0	2	0	1
1	1	0	2	0
0	1	1	0	2
2	1	0	0	1
1	2	1	0	0
0	1	2	1	0
0	0	1	2	1
1	0	0	1	2
2	2	2	2	2

CA(11;2,5,3)

# Differences, Similarities

- ▶ Of course, orthogonal arrays are covering arrays, so they provide useful examples.
- ▶ Nevertheless the connections seem relatively weak:
  - ▶ Orthogonal arrays concerned with “large”  $v$  but  $k \leq v + 1$ ; indeed typically for very small  $k$
  - ▶ Covering arrays concerned with “small”  $v$  and all  $k$
- ▶ Our  $CA(11;2,5,3)$  has too many columns to be an orthogonal array!

# Differences, Similarities

- ▶ Recursive constructions for orthogonal arrays essentially all use arrays with small  $v$  to make ones with large  $v$ , but
- ▶ Recursive constructions for covering arrays essentially all use arrays with small  $k$  to make ones with large  $k$ .

# Differences, Similarities

- ▶ IMOLS can lead to the best known covering arrays
  - ▶ 4-IMOLS(10,2) and CA(6;2,6,2)  $\Rightarrow$  CA(102;2,6,10).
  - ▶ 4-IMOLS(22,3) and CA(13;2,6,3)  $\Rightarrow$  CA(488;2,6,22).
  - ▶ 5-IMOLS(14,2<sup>7</sup>) and CA(6;2,7,2)  $\Rightarrow$  CA(210;2,7,14).
  - ▶ 5-IMOLS(18,2<sup>9</sup>) and CA(6;2,7,2)  $\Rightarrow$  CA(342;2,7,18).
  - ▶ 5-IMOLS(22,2<sup>11</sup>) and CA(6;2,7,2)  $\Rightarrow$  CA(506;2,7,22).

# Differences, Similarities

- ▶ “Fusion”: We can sacrifice symbols:  $CA(q^2; 2, k, q) \Rightarrow CA(q^2 - 1 - 2x; 2, k, q - x)$  for  $1 \leq x < q$ .
- ▶ “Augmentation”: We can adjoin symbols:  $CA(q^2; 2, k, q)$  and  $CA(M; 2, k, 2) \Rightarrow CA(q^2 + (q - 1)(M - 1); 2, k, q + 1)$ .
- ▶ “Projection”: We can turn symbols into columns:  $CA(q^2; 2, k, q) \Rightarrow CA(q^2 - x; 2, k + x, q - x)$  for  $1 \leq x < q$  when  $k \geq q$ .
- ▶ These lead to many of the best known constructions for covering arrays with “small”  $k$  when  $v$  is not a power of a prime.

# Differences, Similarities

- ▶ “Fusion”: We can sacrifice symbols:  $CA(q^2; 2, k, q) \Rightarrow CA(q^2 - 1 - 2x; 2, k, q - x)$  for  $1 \leq x < q$ .
- ▶ “Augmentation”: We can adjoin symbols:  $CA(q^2; 2, k, q)$  and  $CA(M; 2, k, 2) \Rightarrow CA(q^2 + (q - 1)(M - 1); 2, k, q + 1)$ .
- ▶ “Projection”: We can turn symbols into columns:  $CA(q^2; 2, k, q) \Rightarrow CA(q^2 - x; 2, k + x, q - x)$  for  $1 \leq x < q$  when  $k \geq q$ .
- ▶ These lead to many of the best known constructions for covering arrays with “small”  $k$  when  $v$  is not a power of a prime.

# Differences, Similarities

- ▶ “Fusion”: We can sacrifice symbols:  $CA(q^2; 2, k, q) \Rightarrow CA(q^2 - 1 - 2x; 2, k, q - x)$  for  $1 \leq x < q$ .
- ▶ “Augmentation”: We can adjoin symbols:  $CA(q^2; 2, k, q)$  and  $CA(M; 2, k, 2) \Rightarrow CA(q^2 + (q - 1)(M - 1); 2, k, q + 1)$ .
- ▶ “Projection”: We can turn symbols into columns:  $CA(q^2; 2, k, q) \Rightarrow CA(q^2 - x; 2, k + x, q - x)$  for  $1 \leq x < q$  when  $k \geq q$ .
- ▶ These lead to many of the best known constructions for covering arrays with “small”  $k$  when  $v$  is not a power of a prime.

# Differences, Similarities

- ▶ “Fusion”: We can sacrifice symbols:  $CA(q^2; 2, k, q) \Rightarrow CA(q^2 - 1 - 2x; 2, k, q - x)$  for  $1 \leq x < q$ .
- ▶ “Augmentation”: We can adjoin symbols:  $CA(q^2; 2, k, q)$  and  $CA(M; 2, k, 2) \Rightarrow CA(q^2 + (q - 1)(M - 1); 2, k, q + 1)$ .
- ▶ “Projection”: We can turn symbols into columns:  $CA(q^2; 2, k, q) \Rightarrow CA(q^2 - x; 2, k + x, q - x)$  for  $1 \leq x < q$  when  $k \geq q$ .
- ▶ These lead to many of the best known constructions for covering arrays with “small”  $k$  when  $v$  is not a power of a prime.

# Differences, Similarities

— 0 1 1 0      cyclically permute columns

0 — 0 1 1

1 0 — 0 1

1 1 0 — 0

0 1 1 0 —

---

— 1 0 0 1      apply permutation (0 1) (—)

1 — 1 0 0

0 1 — 1 0

0 0 1 — 1

1 0 0 1 —

---

— — — — —      add constant row on symbol —  
CA(11;2,5,3) — (— 0 1 1 0)

# Cover Starters

- ▶  $CA(11;2,5,3) - (-0\ 1\ 1\ 0)$ : 1-apart differences are 1, 0, 1; 2-apart differences are 1, 1, 0.
- ▶ In general, for a group  $\Gamma$ , a vector  $(a_0, \dots, a_{k-1})$  with  $a_i \in \Gamma \cup \{\infty_1, \dots, \infty_c\}$  so that
  - ▶ the  $i$ -apart differences (for  $1 \leq i \leq k/2$ ) cover all elements of  $\Gamma$ , and
  - ▶ for each  $\infty_j$  and each  $1 \leq i < k$  there is an  $\ell$  with  $a_\ell = \infty_j$  and  $a_{\ell+i \bmod k} \in \Gamma$ ,

is a cover starter that produces a covering array on  $k$  columns with  $|\Gamma| + c$  symbols.

- ▶ This leads to many of the best examples of covering arrays for small values of  $k$ , but sadly the examples are all found by computer.

# CA( $N;2,20,10$ )

- ▶ At most 180 is claimed in 1996 by the authors of the commercial software AETG. But the online AETG does 198. So starts a long story ...
- ▶ Calvagna and Gargantini (2009) report results from 10 publicly available programs: 193, 197, 201, 210, 210, 212, 220, 231, 267.
- ▶ Simulated annealing does better: 183.
- ▶ A cover starter over  $\mathbb{Z}_9$  found by Meagher and Stevens does 181.

# CA( $N;2,20,10$ )

- ▶ A variant of projection from a projective plane of order 13 does 178.
- ▶ From the CA( $178;2,20,10$ ), a computational postoptimization method produces 162.
- ▶ A cover starter over  $\mathbb{Z}_7$  found by Lobb, Colbourn, Danziger, Stevens, and Torres does 155.
- ▶ But the “truth” might be much lower yet. We just don’t know.

# What is needed?

- ▶ For MOLS, work has slowed: We know that  $N(99) \geq 8$ . This has been known since 1922. It is plausible that  $N(99)$  is 10, or 50, or 90. Indeed what we know arises almost entirely from the finite field case and recursive methods.
- ▶ Perhaps we can make more progress on relaxations to covering arrays. MOLS (orthogonal arrays) yield a number of useful directions, but again we are handicapped by having to resort to computation – no reasonable theory for cases with few columns exists.
- ▶ What I am hoping is that people will look at other algebraic settings, not necessarily to find more MOLS, but to find reasonable approximations such as covering arrays.