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Overview

Key Issues

1. Construction of the sign matrices for the frame multiplication in the $2^n$-ons using Smith-Conway multiplications.

2. Show that the sign matrices in these two multiplications are Hadamard matrices.

3. Introduce Kronecker products and show that the sign matrices for the quarternions and octonions are equivalent to Kronecker products.
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Why these formulas??

There has been a great desire to develop doubling formulas that give better algebraic structures as the dimensions of the algebras so formed increase. Whenever these doubling formulas are applied, several interesting loop and algebraic properties are observed on the structures so formed.
Doubling Formulas

Cayley - Dickson Formula
The Cayley-Dickson formula is given by
\[(a, b)(c, d) = (ac - \overline{db}, da + b\overline{c})\]

Smith-Conway Formula I
The Smith-Conway doubling formula is
\[(a, b)(c, d) = \begin{cases} 
(ac, \overline{ad}), & \text{if } b=0; \\
(ac - \overline{bd}, b\overline{c} + b\overline{a.b^{-1}d}), & \text{if } b \neq 0.
\end{cases}\]
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Cayley - Dickson Formula
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$$(a, b)(c, d) = (ac - \overline{db}, da + b\overline{c})$$
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The Smith-Conway doubling formula is

$$(a, b)(c, d) = \begin{cases} (ac, \overline{ad}), & \text{if } b=0; \\ (ac - \overline{bd}, b\overline{c} + b(\overline{a}.b^{-1}d)), & \text{if } b \neq 0. \end{cases}$$
Smith-Conway Formula II

There is a modified Smith-Conway doubling formula given by

\[(a, b)(c, d) = \begin{cases} 
(ac, \bar{a}d), & \text{if } b=0; \\
\left( ac - bd, \frac{\bar{a} c + b \bar{a} b^{-1} d}{b} \right), & \text{if } b \neq 0;
\end{cases} \]
Restriction of Formulas on the Basis

When the formula is restricted to the basis elements of the $2^n$-ons, the two reduce to the form

- $(a, 0)(c, 0) = (ac, 0)$
- $(a, 0)(0, d) = (0, ad)$
- $(0, b)(c, 0) = (0, \overline{bc})$
- $(0, b)(0, d) = (-bd, 0) = -(d\bar{b}, 0)$
Hadamard Matrix

A Hadamard matrix of degree $n$ is a $n \times n$ matrix $H$ with entries $\pm 1$ such that $HH' = nI_n$. A Hadamard matrix is normalized if the first row and the first column consists entirely of $+1$’s.

If $H$ is a hadamard matrix, then

- Any two columns/rows are orthogonal of weight $n$
- If some rows or columns of $H$ are permuted, the resulting matrix is still Hadamard
- If some rows/columns are multiplied by $-1$, the resulting matrix is still Hadamard
- If $H$ is Hadamard, $H'$ is also Hadamard
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Sign Matrix

Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n\}$ be a multiplicative quasigroup in which $a_i \cdot a_j \in \{a_k, -a_k\}$. The sign matrix associated with $A$ is the $n \times n$ matrix $S$ with $S_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a_i a_j = a_k; \\ -1, & \text{if } a_i a_j = -a_k. \end{cases}$

We now consider the sign matrices of the $2^n$-ons frame under the Smith-Conway multiplication.
**Sign Matrix**

Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, \cdots, a_n\}$ be a multiplicative quasigroup in which $a_i \cdot a_j \in \{a_k, -a_k\}$. The sign matrix associated with $A$ is the $n \times n$ matrix $S$ with $S_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } a_i a_j = a_k; \\ -1, & \text{if } a_i a_j = -a_k. \end{cases}$

We now consider the sign matrices of the $2^n$-ons frame under the Smith-Conway multiplication.
The frame for the complex numbers is $B_C = \{e_0 = 1, e_1 = i\}$

The sign matrix for the frame multiplication is the matrix

$$S_C = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad S_C S_C' = 2I_2$$
The frame, basis for the quarternions is
\[B_{\mathbb{H}} = \{e_{00} = (e_0, 0), e_{01} = (e_1, 0), e_{10} = (0, e_0), e_{11} = (0, e_1)\}\]
The sign matrix for the frame multiplication is the matrix
\[
S_{\mathbb{H}} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Computing \(S_{\mathbb{H}}S'_{\mathbb{H}} = 4I_4\)
The frame, basis for the quaternions is
\[ B_H = \{ e_{00} = (e_0, 0), e_{01} = (e_1, 0), e_{10} = (0, e_0), e_{11} = (0, e_1) \} \]

The sign matrix for the frame multiplication is the matrix

\[ S_H = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1
\end{pmatrix} \]

Computing \[ S_H S'_H = 4I_4 \]
The frame (basis) for the Octonions is $B_{K} = \{e_{000} = (e_{00}, 0), e_{001} = (e_{01}, 0), e_{010} = (e_{10}, 0), e_{011} = (e_{11}, 0), e_{100} = (0, e_{00}), e_{101} = (0, e_{01}), e_{110} = (0, e_{10}), e_{111} = (0, e_{11})\}$

The sign matrix for the frame multiplication is the matrix

$$S_{K} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
\end{pmatrix}$$
The frame (basis) for the Octonions is $B_K = \{e_{000} = (e_{00}, 0), e_{001} = (e_{01}, 0), e_{010} = (e_{10}, 0), e_{011} = (e_{11}, 0), e_{100} = (0, e_{00}), e_{101} = (0, e_{01}), e_{110} = (0, e_{10}), e_{111} = (0, e_{11})\}$

The sign matrix for the frame multiplication is the matrix

$$S_K = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
\end{pmatrix}$$
It is observed that and $S_K S'_H = 8I_8$

The matrix $S_K$ can be written in the form $S_K = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$
Sign Matrix Of Sedenions

The frame, basis for the sedenions is
\[ B_S = \{ e_{0000} = (e_{000}, 0), e_{0001} = (e_{001}, 0), e_{0010} = (e_{010}, 0), e_{0011} = (e_{011}, 0), e_{0100} = (e_{100}, 0), e_{0101} = (e_{101}, 0), e_{0110} = (e_{110}, 0), e_{0111} = (e_{111}, 0), e_{1000} = (0, e_{000}), e_{1001} = (0, e_{001}), e_{1010} = (0, e_{010}), e_{1011} = (0, e_{011}), e_{1100} = (0, e_{100}), e_{1101} = (0, e_{101}), e_{1110} = (0, e_{110}), e_{1111} = (0, e_{111}) \} \]

Simply the basis elements of the Sedenions are the doubles of the octonion basis with \( e_{0mnk} = (e_{mnk}, 0) \) and \( e_{1mnk} = (0, e_{mnk}) \) where \( e_{mnk} \) is a basis element in the octonions.
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The sign matrix for the frame multiplication is the matrix

\[ S_S = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix} \]

Where

\[ A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \]
The sign matrix for the frame multiplication is the matrix

\[ S_S = \left( \begin{array}{c|c}
A & B \\
C & D \\
\end{array} \right) \]

Where

\[ A = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix} \]
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\[ B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \]
Sign Matrix Of Sedenions

\[
C = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1
\end{pmatrix}
\]
### Sign Matrix Of Sedenions

$$D = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$
It is observed that and \( S_S S'_S = 16I_{16} \)
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Is the sign matrix of the frame multiplication a Hadamard matrix for the general \( 2^n \)-ons??

ANSWER  YES...
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It is motivating to ask;

**Generalize**

Is the sign matrix of the frame multiplication a Hadamard matrix for the general $2^n$-ons??

**ANSWER** YES...
The sign matrix for the frame in the $2^n$-ons under the Smith-Conway multiplication is a $2^n \times 2^n$ Hadamard matrix.

**Proof.**

The sign matrix is Hadamard for $n \leq 4$ as shown above. This sets up the basis for an induction proof.

Let $S$, $V$ be sign matrices for the frame multiplication in the $2^n$-ons and $2^{n+1}$-ons respectively.
The sign matrix for the frame in the $2^n$-ons under the Smith-Conway multiplication is a $2^n \times 2^n$ Hadamard matrix.

**Proof.**

The sign matrix is Hadamard for $n \leq 4$ as shown above. This sets up the basis for an induction proof.

Let $S, V$ be sign matrices for the frame multiplication in the $2^n$-ons and $2^{n+1}$-ons respectively.
The sign matrix for the frame in the $2^n$-ons under the Smith-Conway multiplication is a $2^n \times 2^n$ Hadamard matrix.

Proof.

The sign matrix is Hadamard for $n \leq 4$ as shown above. This sets up the basis for an induction proof.

Let $S$, $V$ be sign matrices for the frame multiplication in the $2^n$-ons and $2^{n+1}$-ons respectively.
Proof (Cont.)

By induction hypothesis, we assume $S$ is Hadamard, and show $V$ is Hadamard.

Now, $V = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$

Where $A$, $B$, $C$ and $D$ are $2^n \times 2^n$ matrices.

Let $\{e_0, e_1, \ldots, e_{2^n-1}\}$ be the basis elements of the $2^n$-ons.

Then the basis of the $2^{n+1}$-ons is $(e_0, 0), (e_1, 0), \ldots, (e_{2^n-1}, 0), (0, e_0), (0, e_1), \ldots, (0, e_{2^n-1})$
Proof (Cont.)

By induction hypothesis, we assume $S$ is Hadamard, and show $V$ is Hadamard.

Now, $V = \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & D \end{pmatrix}$

Where $A$, $B$, $C$ and $D$ are $2^n \times 2^n$ matrices.

Let $\{e_0, e_1, \cdots, e_{2^n-1}\}$ be the basis elements of the $2^n$-ons. Then the basis of the $2^{n+1}$-ons is

$(e_0, 0), (e_1, 0), \cdots, (e_{2^n-1}, 0), (0, e_0), (0, e_1), \cdots, (0, e_{2^n-1})$
Proof (Cont.)

\[ A_{ij} = \text{sign}[(e_{i-1}, 0)(e_{j-1}, 0)] = \text{sign}(e_{i-1} \cdot e_{j-1}, 0) = \text{sign}(e_{i-1} \cdot e_{j-1}) = S_{ij} \]

In this case \( A = S \).

\[ B_{ij} = \text{sign}[(e_{i-1}, 0)(0, e_{j-1})] = \text{sign}(0, \overline{e_{i-1}} \cdot e_{j-1}) = \text{sign}(\overline{e_{i-1}} \cdot e_{j-1}) \]

Thus \( B_{1j} = \text{sign}(e_{j-1}) = 1 \)

For \( i \neq 1 \), \( B_{1j} = \text{sign}(-e_{i-1} \cdot e_{j-1}) = -S_{ij} \)
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Proof (Cont.)

\[ C_{ij} = \text{sign}[(0, e_{i-1})(e_{j-1}, 0)] = \text{sign}(0, e_{j-1} \cdot e_{i-1}, 0) = \text{sign}(e_{j-1} \cdot e_{i-1}) = S_{ji} \]
In this case \( C = S' \).

\[ D_{ij} = \text{sign}[(0, e_{i-1})(0, e_{j-1})] = \text{sign}(-e_{j-1} \cdot e_{i-1}, 0) = \text{sign}(-e_{j-1} \cdot e_{i-1}) \]
Thus \( D_{1j} = \text{sign}(-e_{j-1}) = -1 \)
For \( i \neq 1 \), \( D_{1j} = \text{sign}(e_{j-1} \cdot e_{i-1}) = S_{ji} \)
Proof (Cont.)

\[ C_{ij} = \text{sign}[(0, e_{i-1})(e_{j-1}, 0)] = \text{sign}(0, e_{j-1} \cdot e_{i-1}, 0) = \text{sign}(e_{j-1} \cdot e_{i-1}) = S_{ji} \]

In this case \( C = S' \).

\[ D_{ij} = \text{sign}[(0, e_{i-1})(0, e_{j-1})] = \text{sign}(-e_{j-1} \cdot \overline{e_{i-1}}, 0) = \text{sign}(-e_{j-1} \cdot e_{i-1}) \]

Thus \( D_{1j} = \text{sign}(-e_{j-1}) = -1 \)

For \( i \neq 1 \), \( D_{1j} = \text{sign}(e_{j-1} \cdot e_{i-1}) = S_{ji} \)
Proof (Cont.)

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix}
  1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 & 1 \\
  1 & 1 \\
  1 & 1 \\
  \vdots & & S_{ij} \\
  1 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Proof (Cont.)

\[
B = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & . & . & . & 1 & 1 \\
-1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & \cdot & \cdot & -S_{ij} \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Proof (Cont.)

\[
C = \bordermatrix{ & 1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 & 1 \cr 1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 & 1 \cr 1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 & 1 \cr \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \cr 1 & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 & 1 \cr}
\]

\[S_{ji} = S'\]
Proof (Cont.)

\[ D = \begin{pmatrix}
-1 & -1 & -1 & \ldots & -1 & -1 \\
1 & \quad & \quad & \quad & \quad & \quad \\
1 & \quad & \quad & \quad & \quad & \quad \\
\vdots & \quad & \quad & \quad & \quad & \quad \\
1 & \quad & \quad & \quad & \quad & \quad \\
\end{pmatrix}S_{ji} \]
Proof (Cont.)

Combining these we have

\[
V = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & . & . & . & 1 & 1 & . & . & . & 1 \\
. & . & S_{ij} & . & . & 1 & 1 & . & . & 1 \\
. & . & . & -1 & 1 & . & . & S_{ji} & . & 1 \\
1 & 1 & . & . & . & 1 & -1 & . & . & -1 \\
. & . & . & S_{ji} & 1 & . & . & S_{ji} & 1 & 1 \\
. & . & . & . & 1 & . & . & . & 1 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Proof (Cont.)

We perform a row permutation $R_1 \leftrightarrow R_{2^n+1}$ to get an equivalent matrix

\[
H = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & \ldots & 1 & -1 & \ldots & -1 \\
. & \ldots & S_{ij} & -1 & \ldots & -S_{ij} \\
. & \ldots & 1 & -1 & \ldots & -1 \\
1 & \ldots & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \\
. & \ldots & S_{ji} & 1 & \ldots & S_{ji} \\
. & \ldots & 1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
= \begin{pmatrix}
S & -S \\
S' & -S' \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Proof (Cont.)

We perform a row permutation $R_1 \leftrightarrow R_{2^n+1}$ to get an equivalent matrix

$$H = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & \ldots & 1 & -1 & \ldots & -1 \\
. & S_{ij} & . & -1 & . & -S_{ij} \\
. & 1 & . & -1 & . & . \\
1 & . & . & 1 & 1 & . \\
. & S_{ji} & . & 1 & . & S_{ji} \\
. & 1 & . & 1 & . & . \\
\end{pmatrix}
$$
Proof (Cont.)

Now, \( \mathbf{HH}' = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{S} & -\mathbf{S} \\ \mathbf{S}' & \mathbf{S}' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{S}' & \mathbf{S} \\ -\mathbf{S}' & \mathbf{S} \end{pmatrix} \)

\[
= \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{SS}' + \mathbf{SS}' & \mathbf{S}^2 - \mathbf{S}^2 \\ (\mathbf{S}')^2 - (\mathbf{S}')^2 & \mathbf{S}' \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{S}' \mathbf{S} \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
= \begin{pmatrix} 2^{n+1} \mathbf{I}_{2n} & 0 \\ 0 & 2^{n+1} \mathbf{I}_{2n} \end{pmatrix} = 2^{n+1} \mathbf{I}_{2n+1}
\]

\(\mathbf{H}\) is Hadamard equivalent to \(\mathbf{V}\), and the proof is complete. \(\square\)
Proof (Cont.)

Now, $HH' = \begin{pmatrix} S & -S \\ S' & S' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} S' \\ -S' \\ S \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} SS' + SS' \\ (S')^2 - (S')^2 \\ S'S + S'S \end{pmatrix}$

$= \begin{pmatrix} 2^{n+1}I_{2n}S \\ 0 \\ 2^{n+1}I_{2n} \end{pmatrix} = 2^{n+1}I_{2n+1}$

$H$ is Hadamard equivalent to $V$, and the proof is complete.
Proof (Cont.)

Now, \( HH' = \begin{pmatrix} S & -S \\ S' & S' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} S' \\ S \end{pmatrix} \)

\[ = \begin{pmatrix} SS' + SS' \\ (S')^2 - (S')^2 \\ S'S + S'S' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} S^2 - S^2 \\ S'S + S'S' \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ = \begin{pmatrix} 2^{n+1} I_{2n} S \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 2^{n+1} I_{2n} \end{pmatrix} = 2^{n+1} I_{2n+1} \]

\( H \) is Hadamard equivalent to \( V \), and the proof is complete. \( \blacksquare \)
Proof (Cont.)

Now, \( HH' = \begin{pmatrix} S & -S \\ S' & S' \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} S' \\ -S' \\ S \\ S' \end{pmatrix} \)

\[
= \begin{pmatrix} SS' + SS' \\ (S')^2 - (S')^2 \\ S' S + S' S \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
= \begin{pmatrix} 2^{n+1} I_{2n} S \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
= 2^{n+1} I_{2n+1}
\]

\( H \) is Hadamard equivalent to \( V \), and the proof is complete.
The sign matrices for the frame multiplication under the Cayley-Dickson formula is a Hadamard matrix.

The sign matrix for the complex numbers is exactly the same as under Smith-Conway formula.

The matrices are different for quartenions, octonions and the general $2^n$-ons. However, the matrices are equivalent (similar) via permutation of rows/columns.
The sign matrices for the frame multiplication under the Cayley-Dickson formula is a Hadamard matrix.

The sign matrix for the complex numbers is exactly the same as under Smith-Conway formula.

The matrices are different for quartenions, octonions and the general $2^n$-ons. However, the matrices are equivalent (similar) via permutation of rows/columns.
Sign Matrices under Cayley-Dickson Formula

The sign matrices for the frame multiplication under the Cayley-Dickson formula is a Hadamard matrix.

The sign matrix for the complex numbers is exactly the same as under Smith-Conway formula.

The matrices are different for quartenions, octonions and the general $2^n$-ons.
However, the matrices are equivalent (similar) via permutation of rows/columns.
Example, the sign matrix for the quaternion frame multiplication under Cayley-Dickson formula is

\[
S_\mathbb{H} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

while under Smith-Conway it is

\[
S_\mathbb{H} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Example, the sign matrix for the quaternion frame multiplication under Cayley-Dickson formula is

$$S_{\mathbb{H}} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
\end{pmatrix}$$

while under Smith-Conway it is

$$S_{\mathbb{H}} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
\end{pmatrix}$$
The sign matrix under Cayley-Dickson can be achieved from the Smith-Conway matrix via the permutations $C_3 \leftrightarrow C_4$ followed by $R_3 \leftrightarrow R_4$.

The general proof that the sign matrix for the $2^n$-on is Hadamard is similar to the one above except that the column permutation $C_1 \leftrightarrow C_{2^n+1}$ to get an equivalent matrix instead of a row permutation $R_1 \leftrightarrow R_{2^n+1}$.

Also the matrix for the $2^{n+1}$-ons frame after the permutation is of the form $H = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} S & S' \\ \hline -S & S' \end{array}\right)$ instead of $H = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} S & -S \\ \hline S' & S' \end{array}\right)$
The sign matrix under Cayley-Dickson can be achieved from the Smith-Conway matrix via the permutations $C_3 \leftrightarrow C_4$ followed by $R_3 \leftrightarrow R_4$.

The general proof that the sign matrix for the $2^n$-on is Hadamard is similar to the one above except that the column permutation $C_1 \leftrightarrow C_{2^n+1}$ to get an equivalent matrix instead of a row permutation $R_1 \leftrightarrow R_{2^n+1}$.

Also the matrix for the $2^{n+1}$-ons frame after the permutation is of the form $H = \begin{pmatrix} S & S' \\ -S & S' \end{pmatrix}$ instead of $H = \begin{pmatrix} S & -S \\ S' & S' \end{pmatrix}$.
The sign matrix under Cayley-Dickson can be achieved from the Smith-Conway matrix via the permutations $C_3 \leftrightarrow C_4$ followed by $R_3 \leftrightarrow R_4$.

The general proof that the sign matrix for the $2^n$-on is Hadamard is similar to the one above except that the column permutation $C_1 \leftrightarrow C_{2n+1}$ to get an equivalent matrix instead of a row permutation $R_1 \leftrightarrow R_{2n+1}$.

Also the matrix for the $2^{n+1}$-ons frame after the permutation is of the form $H = \begin{pmatrix} S & S' \\ -S & \bar{S}' \end{pmatrix}$ instead of $H = \begin{pmatrix} S & -S \\ S' & \bar{S}' \end{pmatrix}$. 
**Definition**

An Hadamard matrix of order \( m \) is **skew** if \( H = S + I \) and \( S' = -S \)

If \( H = S + I \) is a skew Hadamard matrix, the matrix \( S \) can be written in the form \( S' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & e \\ -e' & W \end{pmatrix} \)

where \( e \) a all ones row vector. The matrix \( W \) is called the **kernel** of the skew Hadamard matrix
Theorem

Skew Matrix Property

The sign matrices of the $2^n$-ons under the Smith-Conway or Cayley-Dickson multiplication is equivalent to a skew Hadamard matrix.

Proof.

The sign matrix of the frame under these two multiplications is of the form

$$\tilde{H} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & & & & 1 \\
1 & -1 & & & \\
& & \tilde{H}_{ij} & & \\
& & & \ddots & \\
& & & & \tilde{H}_{ji}
\end{pmatrix}
$$

with $\tilde{H}_{ji} = -\tilde{H}_{ij}$
Theorem

Skew Matrix Property

The sign matrices of the $2^n$-ons under the Smith-Conway or Cayley-Dickson multiplication is equivalent to a skew Hadamard matrix.

Proof.

The sign matrix of the frame under these two multiplications is of the form

$$
\tilde{H} = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & . & . & . & 1 \\
1 & -1 & \tilde{H}_{ij} & . & . \\
1 & . & . & \tilde{H}_{ji} & . \\
1 & . & . & . & -1
\end{pmatrix}
$$

with $\tilde{H}_{ji} = -\tilde{H}_{ij}$
Proof (Cont.)

If we multiply all rows by -1 except the first one, we get an equivalent matrix

\[
H = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & . & . & . & . & 1 \\
-1 & 1 & H_{ij} & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & H_{ji} & . & . \\
-1 & . & . & . & 1 & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . \\
0 & 1 & . & . & . & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & H_{ij} & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & H_{ji} & . & . \\
-1 & . & . & . & 0 & . \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[H = I + S \]

where \( H_{ji} = -H_{ij} \)
Proof (Cont.)

If we multiply all rows by $-1$ except the first one, we get an equivalent matrix

$$H = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & . & . & . & . & 1 \\
-1 & 1 & H_{ij} & & & \\
. & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & H_{ji} & . & . & . & . \\
-1 & . & . & . & . & 1
\end{pmatrix}$$

$$H = I + \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & . & . & . & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & H_{ij} & & & \\
. & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & H_{ji} & . & . & . & . \\
-1 & . & . & . & . & 0
\end{pmatrix} = I + S$$

where $H_{ji} = -H_{ij}$
Proof (Cont.)

If we multiply all rows by -1 except the first one, we get an equivalent matrix

\[
H = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & . & . & . & 1 \\
-1 & 1 & H_{ij} & & \\
. & . & . & & . \\
. & . & . & & . \\
. & H_{ji} & & & . \\
-1 & . & . & . & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
H = I + \begin{pmatrix}
0 & 1 & . & . & . & 1 \\
-1 & 0 & H_{ij} & & & \\
. & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . & . \\
. & H_{ji} & & & . & . \\
-1 & . & . & . & 0
\end{pmatrix} = I + S
\]

where \( H_{ji} = -H_{ij} \)
\[
S' = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & -1 & . & . & . & -1 \\
1 & 0 & H_{ji} & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . \\
. & . & . & . & . \\
. & H_{ji} & . & . & . \\
1 & . & . & . & 0
\end{pmatrix} = -S
\]

and \( S = \begin{pmatrix}
0 & e \\
-e' & W
\end{pmatrix} \)

\( W \) is the kernel of the sign matrix.
Definition

Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ be a $n \times m$ matrix and let $B = [b_{ij}]$ be a $r \times s$ matrix. The **Kronecker product** of $A$ and $B$ is the $nk \times ms$ matrix given by

$$A \otimes B = \begin{pmatrix}
    a_{11}B & \cdots & a_{1m}B \\
    \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
    a_{n1}B & \cdots & a_{nm}B
\end{pmatrix}$$

The Kronecker product is also known as the **direct product** or the **tensor products**.
Kronecker Products

Definition

Let $A = [a_{ij}]$ be a $n \times m$ matrix and let $B = [b_{ij}]$ be a $r \times s$ matrix. The \textbf{Kronecker product} of $A$ and $B$ is the $nk \times ms$ matrix given by

$$A \otimes B = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11}B & \ldots & a_{1m}B \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{n1}B & \ldots & a_{nm}B \end{pmatrix}$$

The Kronecker product is also known as the \textbf{direct product} or the \textbf{tensor products}.
Properties

Kronecker products satisfy the following properties

1. \( A \otimes (B + C) = A \otimes B + A \otimes C \) and \( (A + B) \otimes C = A \otimes C + B \otimes C \)
2. \( \lambda(A \otimes B) = (\lambda A) \otimes B = A \otimes (\lambda B) \)
3. \( \lambda A \otimes \beta B = \lambda \beta (A \otimes B) \)
4. The product is associative \( (A \otimes B) \otimes C = A \otimes (B \otimes C) \)
5. If \( A, B, C, D \) are square matrices such that \( AC \) and \( BD \) exist, then \( (A \otimes B)(C \otimes D) = AC \otimes BB \)
6. If \( A \) and \( B \) are invertible matrices, then \( (A \otimes B)^{-1} = A^{-1} \otimes B^{-1} \)
7. If \( A \) and \( B \) are square matrices \( (A \otimes B)' = A' \otimes B' \)
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2. \( \lambda(A \otimes B) = (\lambda A) \otimes B = A \otimes (\lambda B) \)
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Properties

Kronecker products satisfy the following properties

1. \( A \otimes (B + C) = A \otimes B + A \otimes C \) and 
   \( (A + B) \otimes C = A \otimes C + B \otimes C \)
2. \( \lambda (A \otimes B) = (\lambda A) \otimes B = A \otimes (\lambda B) \)
3. \( \lambda A \otimes \beta B = \lambda \beta (A \otimes B) \)
4. The product is associative \( (A \otimes B) \otimes C = A \otimes (B \otimes C) \)
5. If \( A, B, C, D \) are square matrices such that \( AC \) and \( BD \) exist, then \( (A \otimes B)(C \otimes D) = AC \otimes BB \)
   If \( A \) and \( B \) are invertible matrices, then 
   \( (A \otimes B)^{-1} = A^{-1} \otimes B^{-1} \)
6. If \( A \) and \( B \) are square matrices \( (A \otimes B)' = A' \otimes B' \)
Kronecker Products of Hadamard Matrices

If $H$ and $G$ are Hadamard matrices of order $n$ and $m$, the Kronecker product $H \times G$ is a Hadamard matrix.

Proof.

$$(H \times G)(H \times G)' = (H \times G)(H' \times G') = HH' \times GG' =$$

$$nI_n \otimes mI_m = nmI_{nm}$$
Kronecker Products of Hadamard Matrices

If $H$ and $G$ are Hadamard matrices of order $n$ and $m$, the Kronecker product $H \times G$ is a Hadamard matrix.

**Proof.**

$$(H \times G)(H \times G)' = (H \times G)(H' \times G') = HH' \times GG' = nI_n \otimes mI_m = nmI_{nm}$$
Kronecker Products of Sign Matrices

The Kronecker product of the sign matrix for the complex numbers is

\[ S_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes S_{\mathbb{C}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \]

This matrix is equivalent to the sign matrix

\[ S_{\mathbb{H}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \]

via row permutations \( R_2 \leftrightarrow R_4 \) or column permutation \( C_3 \leftrightarrow C_4 \).
**Kronecker Products of Sign Matrices**

The Kronecker product of the sign matrix for the complex numbers is

\[ S_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes S_{\mathbb{C}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \]

This matrix is equivalent to the sign matrix

\[ S_{\mathbb{H}} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \]

via row permutations \( R_2 \leftrightarrow R_4 \) or column permutation \( C_3 \leftrightarrow C_4 \).
Kronecker Products of Sign Matrices

The Kronecker product of the sign matrix for the complex numbers and quartenions is

\[ S_C \otimes S_H = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \]
Kronecker Products of Sign Matrices

The Kronecker product of the sign matrix for the complex numbers and quartenions is

\[
S_C \otimes S_H = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 \\
\end{pmatrix} \otimes \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[
= \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Kronecker Products of Sign Matrices

This matrix is similar to the sign matrix of the octonions via the permutation $R_2 \rightarrow R_7 \rightarrow R_3 \rightarrow R_8 \rightarrow R_4 \rightarrow R_6 \rightarrow R_2$

If we start with the Kronecker product $S_{\mathbb{H}} \otimes S_{\mathbb{C}}$, we get the equivalent matrix to $S_K$. The row permutations $R_2 \rightarrow R_6 \rightarrow R_4 \rightarrow R_2, \ R_7 \rightarrow R_8 \rightarrow R_7$

The Kronecker product $S_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes S_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes S_{\mathbb{C}}$ is also equivalent to $S_K$ via the permutations $R_2 \rightarrow R_6 \rightarrow R_4 \rightarrow R_7 \rightarrow R_3 \rightarrow R_8 \rightarrow R_2$
Kronecker Products of Sign Matrices

This matrix is similar to the sign matrix of the octonions via the permutation $R_2 \rightarrow R_7 \rightarrow R_3 \rightarrow R_8 \rightarrow R_4 \rightarrow R_6 \rightarrow R_2$

If we start with the Kronecker product $S_H \otimes S_C$, we get the equivalent matrix to $S_K$. The row permutations $R_2 \rightarrow R_6 \rightarrow R_4 \rightarrow R_2, \ R_7 \rightarrow R_8 \rightarrow R_7$

The Kronecker product $S_C \otimes S_C \otimes S_C$ is also equivalent to $S_K$ via the permutations $R_2 \rightarrow R_6 \rightarrow R_4 \rightarrow R_7 \rightarrow R_3 \rightarrow R_8 \rightarrow R_2$
Kronecker Products of Sign Matrices

This matrix is similar to the sign matrix of the octonions via the permutation $R_2 \rightarrow R_7 \rightarrow R_3 \rightarrow R_8 \rightarrow R_4 \rightarrow R_6 \rightarrow R_2$

If we start with the Kronecker product $S_{\mathbb{H}} \otimes S_{\mathbb{C}}$, we get the equivalent matrix to $S_{\mathbb{K}}$. The row permutations $R_2 \rightarrow R_6 \rightarrow R_4 \rightarrow R_2, \ R_7 \rightarrow R_8 \rightarrow R_7$

The Kronecker product $S_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes S_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes S_{\mathbb{C}}$ is also equivalent to $S_{\mathbb{K}}$ via the permutations $R_2 \rightarrow R_6 \rightarrow R_4 \rightarrow R_7 \rightarrow R_3 \rightarrow R_8 \rightarrow R_2$
Question

Is the Kronecker product of the sign matrices of the $2^{n-1}$-ons with that of $S_C$ always equivalent to the sign matrix of $2^n$?? If such an equivalence exists, what is the permutation matrix for equivalence??

If $S$ is the sign matrix of the $2^{n-1}$-ons, the sign matrix of the $2^n$-ons is equivalent to the matrix $H = \begin{pmatrix} S & S' \\ -S & S' \end{pmatrix}$

On the other hand $S_C \otimes R = \begin{pmatrix} R & R \\ R & -R \end{pmatrix}$

The problem would be solved if these two matrices $H$ and $S_C \otimes R$ were shown to be equivalent.
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Question

Is the Kronecker product of the sign matrices of the $2^{n-1}$-ons with that of $S_C$ always equivalent to the sign matrix of $2^n$?? If such an equivalence exists, what is the permutation matrix for equivalence??

If $S$ is the sign matrix of the $2^{n-1}$-ons, the sign matrix of the $2^n$-ons is equivalent to the matrix $H = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} S & S' \\ \hline -S & S' \end{array} \right)$

On the other hand $S_C \otimes R = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} R & R \\ \hline R & -R \end{array} \right)$

The problem would be solved if these two matrices $H$ and $S_C \otimes R$ were shown to be equivalent.
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