

## MATH 3162 Homework Assignment 7 Solutions

**7.2.3(a):**  $\implies$ : assume that  $f$  is integrable. Then, for every  $n$ , choose  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{n}$ , and by Theorem 7.2.8, there exists  $P_n$  so that  $0 \leq U(f, P_n) - L(f, P_n) < \frac{1}{n}$ , which implies that  $U(f, P_n) - L(f, P_n) \rightarrow 0$ . In addition, since  $\int_a^b f \, dx = U(f) = L(f)$ , for every  $n$  we know that  $L(f, P_n) \leq \int_a^b f \, dx \leq U(f, P_n)$ . This means that for each  $n$ ,  $|\int_a^b f - L(f, P_n)| \leq U(f, P_n) - L(f, P_n) < \frac{1}{n}$ , so  $L(f, P_n) \rightarrow \int_a^b f \, dx$ ; the proof that  $U(f, P_n) \rightarrow \int_a^b f \, dx$  is similar.

$\impliedby$ : Assume that there exists a sequence of partitions  $P_n$  where  $U(f, P_n) - L(f, P_n) \rightarrow 0$ . Then for every  $\epsilon$ , by definition of convergence, we can choose  $n$  so that  $U(f, P_n) - L(f, P_n) = |U(f, P_n) - L(f, P_n)| < \epsilon$ . Since  $\epsilon$  was arbitrary, this proves that  $f$  is integrable. Also notice that  $L(f, P_n) \leq \int_a^b f \, dx \leq U(f, P_n)$  for every  $n$ , and the proof that  $L(f, P_n) \rightarrow \int_a^b f \, dx$  and  $U(f, P_n) \rightarrow \int_a^b f \, dx$  is the same as in the paragraph above.

■

**7.2.3(b):** For every  $n$ , define  $P_n = \{0, \frac{1}{n}, \frac{2}{n}, \dots, 1\}$ , i.e.  $x_k = \frac{k}{n}$ . Then

$$L(f, P_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} m_k(x_{k+1} - x_k) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{k}{n} \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{n^2} (0+1+2+\dots+(n-1)) = \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{(n-1)n}{2} = \frac{n-1}{2n} \text{ and}$$

$$U(f, P_n) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} M_k(x_{k+1} - x_k) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{k+1}{n} \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{n^2} (1+2+\dots+n) = \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{n(n+1)}{2} = \frac{n+1}{2n}.$$

■

**7.2.3(c):** From (b), we see that  $U(f, P_n) - L(f, P_n) = \frac{n+1}{2n} - \frac{n-1}{2n} = \frac{1}{n}$ , which approaches 0. Therefore,  $f(x) = x$  is integrable on  $[0, 1]$ . Its integral  $\int_0^1 x \, dx$  is the limit of  $L(f, P_n)$ , which is the limit of  $\frac{n-1}{2n} = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2n}$ , which is  $\frac{1}{2}$ .

■

**7.3.3:** Define  $f(x)$  as in the problem, equal to 1 iff  $x = \frac{1}{n}$  for some  $n$ , and 0 otherwise. Choose any  $\epsilon > 0$ . By the Reverse Archimedean Principle, there exists  $N$  so that  $\frac{1}{N} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$ . Start defining  $P$  by choosing  $x_0 = 0$  and  $x_1 = \frac{1}{N}$ . Then, choose  $M$  so that  $\frac{1}{M} < \frac{\epsilon}{2N}$ , and define the rest of  $P$  by breaking up  $[\frac{1}{N}, 1]$  into  $M$  equal pieces, i.e.

$$x_2 = \frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{M}(1-1/N), x_3 = \frac{1}{N} + \frac{2}{M}(1-1/N), \dots, x_{M+1} = \frac{1}{N} + \frac{M}{M}(1-1/N) = 1.$$

We now write

$$U(f, P) = \sum_{k=0}^M M_k(x_{k+1} - x_k) = M_0 \cdot \frac{1}{N} + \sum_{k=1}^M M_k \cdot \frac{1-1/N}{M} \leq \frac{1}{N} + \sum_{k=1}^M M_k \frac{1}{M}.$$

Notice that there are only  $N$  numbers of the form  $\frac{1}{n}$  which are greater than or equal to  $x_1 = \frac{1}{N}$ , namely  $1, \frac{1}{2}, \dots, \frac{1}{N}$ . Therefore, there are at most  $N$  intervals  $[x_k, x_{k+1}]$  for  $k \geq 1$  where  $M_k = 1$ . This means that

$$U(f, P) \leq \frac{1}{N} + \sum_{k=1}^M M_k \frac{1}{M} \leq \frac{1}{N} + \frac{N}{M} < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon.$$

Finally, we note that

$$L(f, P) = \sum_{k=0}^M m_k(x_{k+1} - x_k) = 0,$$

since  $m_k = 0$  for every  $k$ . This means that  $U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \epsilon$ , and since  $\epsilon > 0$  was arbitrary,  $f$  is integrable. Finally, since  $L(f, P) = 0$  for every  $P$ ,  $L(f) = 0$ , and so  $\int_0^1 f \, dx = 0$ . ■

**7.3.9(a):** Choose any  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $f$  is bounded, there exists  $M$  so that  $-M \leq f(x) \leq M$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$ . Since  $D$  (the set of discontinuities of  $f$ ) is content zero, there exist finitely many open intervals  $I_1 = (a_1, b_1), \dots, I_n = (a_n, b_n)$  so that  $D \subseteq \bigcup I_k$  and the sum of the lengths of the  $I_k$  is less than  $\frac{\epsilon}{4M}$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that the  $I_k$  are disjoint and arranged in increasing order, i.e.  $a < a_1 < b_1 < a_2 < b_2 < \dots < a_n < b_n < b$ . Then  $f$  is continuous on  $D^c$ , meaning that it is continuous on the intervals  $[a, a_1], [b_1, a_2], \dots, [b_{n-1}, a_n], [b_n, b]$ .

Since  $f$  is continuous on each of these intervals, it is integrable on each of them, and so we can assign partitions  $P_0$  of  $[a, a_1]$ ,  $P_1$  of  $[b_1, a_2]$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $P_n$  of  $[b_n, b]$  so that  $U(f, P_k) - L(f, P_k) < \frac{\epsilon}{2(n+1)}$  for each  $k$ . Define  $P$  to be the union of all of the  $P_k$ . We now analyze  $U(f, P) - L(f, P)$  by breaking it into pieces:

$$\begin{aligned} U(f, P) - L(f, P) &= \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} (M_k - m_k)(x_{k+1} - x_k) = \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^n (U(f, P_i) - L(f, P_i)) + \sum_{i=0}^n (M_{[a_i, b_i]}^f - m_{[a_i, b_i]}^f)(b_i - a_i). \end{aligned}$$

The first piece represents the upper sum minus the lower sum over the intervals  $[a, a_1]$ ,  $P_1$  of  $[b_1, a_2]$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $P_n$  of  $[b_n, b]$ , which just comes from upper sums minus lower sums on the partitions  $P_0, \dots, P_n$  that we already defined for those intervals. The second piece represents the upper sum minus the lower sum just on the intervals  $[a_1, b_1], \dots, [a_n, b_n]$ , which we did not split at all in  $P$ . ( $m_{[a_i, b_i]}^f$  represents the inf of  $f$  over the interval  $[a_i, b_i]$ , and  $M_{[a_i, b_i]}^f$  represents the sup of  $f$  over the same interval.) Since  $-M \leq f(x) \leq M$  for all  $x$ , every  $M_{[a_i, b_i]}^f \leq M$

and every  $m_{[a_i, b_i]}^f \geq -M$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} U(f, P) - L(f, P) &= \sum_{i=0}^n (U(f, P_i) - L(f, P_i)) + \sum_{i=1}^n (M_{[a_i, b_i]}^f - m_{[a_i, b_i]}^f)(b_i - a_i) \\ &< (n+1) \cdot \frac{\epsilon}{2(n+1)} + \sum_{i=1}^n 2M(b_i - a_i) = \frac{\epsilon}{2} + 2M \sum_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i) < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + 2M \frac{\epsilon}{4M} = \epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

(The last  $<$  comes from the fact that the sum of the lengths of the intervals  $I_1, \dots, I_n$  is less than  $\frac{\epsilon}{4M}$ .) Since  $\epsilon$  was arbitrary, we've proved that  $f$  is integrable on  $[a, b]$ . ■

**7.3.9(b):** If  $F = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$  is a finite set and  $\epsilon > 0$ , take  $I_k = (x_k - \frac{\epsilon}{4n}, x_k + \frac{\epsilon}{4n})$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then, since  $x_k \in I_k$  for each  $k$ , obviously  $F \subset \bigcup_{k=1}^n I_k$ . Each  $I_k$  has length  $\frac{\epsilon}{2n}$ , so the sum of the lengths of the  $n$  intervals is  $\frac{\epsilon}{2} < \epsilon$ . ■

**7.3.9(c):** Recall that the Cantor set is defined as  $C = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n$ , where  $C_0 = [0, 1]$ ,  $C_1 = [0, 1/3] \cup [2/3, 1]$ , and each  $C_n$  is obtained by removing the open middle thirds of the intervals in  $C_{n-1}$ . (So, for instance,  $C_2 = [0, 1/9] \cup [2/9, 1/3] \cup [2/3, 7/9] \cup [8/9, 1]$ .) Notice that for every  $n$ ,  $C \subset C_n$ . This is almost enough to finish, except that the definition of content zero requires OPEN intervals, and the intervals which comprise each  $C_n$  are closed.

So, we slightly change the definition: for each  $n$ , define  $C'_n$  by doubling the length of each small interval in  $C_n$  but keeping the same center, and making each open. So, for instance,  $C'_1 = (-1/6, 1/2) \cup (1/2, 7/6)$ . (The first interval in  $C'_1$  has center  $1/6$  and length  $2/3$ , compared to the first interval of  $C_1$  which has center  $1/6$  and length  $1/3$ , and the second interval of  $C'_1$  is similarly defined.) Then for all  $n$ ,  $C_n \subset C'_n$ , so  $C \subset C'_n$ . Also,  $C'_n$  is a union of  $2^n$  open intervals which each have length  $\frac{2}{3^n}$ , so the total length is  $2 \cdot (2/3)^n$ . Fix any  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $(2/3)^n \rightarrow 0$ , we can choose  $N$  so that  $(2/3)^N < \epsilon/2 \implies 2 \cdot (2/3)^N < \epsilon$ . Then,  $C'_N$  is a union of finitely many open intervals with total length less than  $\epsilon$  which contains  $C$ , so by definition,  $C$  has content zero. ■

**7.3.9(d):** Define  $f$  as in the problem, where  $f(x) = 1$  if  $x \in C$  and  $f(x) = 0$  if  $x \in C^c$ . Recall that  $C$  is a closed set, so  $C^c$  is open. Therefore, for any  $x \in C^c$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  so that  $(x - \delta, x + \delta) \subseteq C^c$ , and so  $f = 0$  on the interval  $(x - \delta, x + \delta)$ . This clearly implies that  $f$  is continuous at  $x$ . Since  $x \in C^c$  was arbitrary,  $f$  is continuous on all of  $C^c$ . So, the discontinuity set  $D$  for  $f$  is contained in  $C$ , and therefore has content 0 by the argument given in part (c). ■

**7.4.4:** Suppose that  $f$  is integrable and positive on  $[a, b]$ . By the Lebesgue Criterion from Section 7.6, the discontinuity set  $D$  of  $f$  has measure 0. So,  $D$  is not all of  $[a, b]$ . (If  $[a, b]$  had measure 0, then the Dirichlet function would be integrable on  $[a, b]$ , and we know that's false.) Therefore,  $f$  is continuous at some point  $c \in [a, b]$ . Since  $f$  is positive,  $f(c) > 0$ . By choosing  $\epsilon = f(c)/2$ , we get  $\delta$  so that for all  $x \in [a, b]$  with  $|x - c| < \delta$ ,  $|f(x) - f(c)| < \epsilon \implies f(x) \in (f(c) - \epsilon, f(c) + \epsilon) \implies f(x) > f(c) - \epsilon = f(c)/2$ . In other words, we have an entire interval  $I = [y, z]$  so that  $f(x) > f(c)/2$  for all  $x \in I$ . Then, since  $f \geq 0$  on  $[a, y]$  and  $[y, b]$ , and  $f \geq f(c)/2$  on  $[y, z]$ ,

$$\int_a^b f \, dx = \int_a^y f \, dx + \int_y^z f \, dx + \int_z^b f \, dx \geq 0 + (z - y)(f(c)/2) + 0 > 0.$$

■

**Written problem 1:** This is extremely similar to the last problem. Since  $f \geq 0$  for all  $x \in [a, b]$  and  $f$  is not the zero function, there exists  $c \in [a, b]$  such that  $f(c) > 0$ . Now, since  $f$  is continuous at  $c$ , we can apply exactly the same argument as in the previous problem to get that  $\int_a^b f \, dx > 0$ .

■

**Written problem 2:** Note that from the conditions of the problem,  $0 \leq f_n(x) \leq f_1(a)$  for all  $n$  and  $x \in [a, b]$ . Since each  $f_n$  is nonnegative for all  $x$ ,  $\int_a^b f_n \, dx \geq 0$  for all  $n$ . Now, choose any  $\epsilon > 0$ , and define  $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{2f_1(a)}$ . From the conditions of the problem,  $f_n(a + \delta) \rightarrow 0$ , and so we can choose  $N$  so that for  $n > N$ ,  $|f_n(a + \delta) - 0| = f_n(a + \delta) < \frac{\epsilon}{2(b-a)}$ . Then, for  $n > N$ ,  $f_n(x) \leq f_1(a)$  for  $x \in [a, a + \delta]$  and  $f_n(x) \leq f_n(a + \delta) < \frac{\epsilon}{2(b-a)}$  for  $x \in [a + \delta, b]$  (recall that  $f_n$  is a decreasing function!) So, for all  $n > N$ ,

$$\left| \int_a^b f_n \, dx - 0 \right| = \int_a^b f_n \, dx = \int_a^{a+\delta} f_n \, dx + \int_{a+\delta}^b f_n \, dx \leq \delta f_1(a) + (b-a-\delta) \frac{\epsilon}{2(b-a)} < \frac{\epsilon}{2} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} = \epsilon.$$

Since  $\epsilon > 0$  was arbitrary, this proves that  $\int_a^b f_n \, dx \rightarrow 0$ .

■

**Written problem 3:**  $\implies$ : Assume that  $f$  is  $\epsilon$ -discontinuous at  $x$ . Then, for every  $n$ , choose  $\delta = 1/n$ . By definition, there exist  $y, z \in (x - 1/n, x + 1/n)$  so that  $|f(y) - f(z)| \geq \epsilon$ ; denote these as  $y_n$  and  $z_n$ . Then clearly  $|f(y_n) - f(z_n)| \geq \epsilon$  for all  $n$  by definition. Also, since  $x - 1/n < y_n, z_n < x + 1/n$  for all  $n$ , and  $x - 1/n$  and  $x + 1/n$  approach  $x$  (as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), by the Squeeze Theorem,  $y_n$  and  $z_n$  both approach  $x$ .

$\impliedby$ : Suppose that sequences  $(y_n), (z_n)$  exist which both approach  $x$  and where for all  $n$ ,  $|f(y_n) - f(z_n)| \geq \epsilon$ . Then, take any  $\delta > 0$ . By the definition of

convergence, there exists  $N_1$  so that when  $n > N_1$ ,  $|y_n - x| < \delta$ . Similarly, there exists  $N_2$  so that when  $n > N_2$ ,  $|z_n - x| < \delta$ . Then, we can choose  $N = \max(N_1, N_2) + 1$ , and we know that  $|y_N - x| < \delta$  and  $|z_N - x| < \delta$ , meaning that  $y_N, z_N \in (x - \delta, x + \delta)$ . Also,  $|f(y_N) - f(z_N)| \geq \epsilon$ , and so  $y_N$  and  $z_N$  can be the  $y$  and  $z$  required in the definition of  $\epsilon$ -discontinuity, completing the proof. ■

**Written problem 4:** We will instead prove the contrapositive, i.e. that if  $f \neq g$  and  $f, g$  are continuous on  $[a, b]$ , then there exists  $h$  continuous on  $[a, b]$  so that  $\int fh \neq \int gh$ . Suppose that  $f, g$  are continuous and  $f \neq g$ ; then there exists  $c \in [a, b]$  so that  $f(c) \neq g(c)$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $f(c) > g(c)$  (otherwise, we could switch  $f$  and  $g$  to make this true.) Choose  $\epsilon = \frac{f(c) - g(c)}{2}$  and use continuity of  $f, g$  at  $c$  to get  $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$  so that  $|x - c| < \delta_1 \implies |f(x) - f(c)| < \epsilon$  and  $|x - c| < \delta_2 \implies |g(x) - g(c)| < \epsilon$ . Then, define  $\delta = \min(\delta_1, \delta_2)$ . If  $|x - c| < \delta$ , then  $|x - c| < \delta_1$  and  $|x - c| < \delta_2$ , and so

$$|f(x) - f(c)| < \epsilon \implies f(x) \in (f(c) - \epsilon, f(c) + \epsilon) \text{ and } |g(x) - g(c)| < \epsilon \implies g(x) \in (g(c) - \epsilon, g(c) + \epsilon).$$

Define  $I$  to be the interval of  $x \in [a, b]$  for which  $|x - c| < \delta$ . Then, for all  $x \in I$ ,  $f(x) > f(c) - \epsilon$  and  $g(x) < g(c) + \epsilon$ , so  $f(x) - g(x) > f(c) - g(c) - 2\epsilon = 0$  (check the definition of  $\epsilon$  to confirm this).

Now, define  $h$  to be a nonnegative continuous function which is 0 for all  $x$  outside the interval  $I$ , and satisfies  $h(c) > 0$ . (This is easy to design; just picture  $h$  to be 0 on most of  $[a, b]$ , with a small “bump” contained entirely within  $I$ .) Then, since  $h = 0$  outside  $I$  and  $h \geq 0$  and  $f > g$  on  $I$ ,  $(f - g)h \geq 0$  on  $[a, b]$ . Finally, note that at  $x = c$ ,  $(f(c) - g(c))h(c)$  is positive since  $f(c) > g(c)$  and  $h(c) > 0$ . So,  $(f - g)h$  is continuous and nonnegative on  $[a, b]$  and is not the zero function. Therefore, by Written Problem 1,  $\int_a^b (f - g)h > 0$ . But we can rewrite  $\int_a^b (f - g)h = \int_a^b fh - \int_a^b gh > 0$ , meaning that  $\int_a^b fh \neq \int_a^b gh$ , completing the proof. ■

**Alternate proof!** Choose any  $f, g$  continuous on  $[a, b]$  where  $\int_a^b fh = \int_a^b gh$  for all continuous  $h$  on  $[a, b]$ . Then in particular, we can choose  $h = f - g$ , and so  $\int_a^b f(f - g) = \int_a^b g(f - g)$ , meaning that

$$0 = \int_a^b f(f - g) - \int_a^b g(f - g) = \int_a^b f(f - g) - g(f - g) = \int_a^b (f - g)^2.$$

Notice that  $(f - g)^2$  is continuous on  $[a, b]$  and nonnegative. Therefore, by the contrapositive of Written Problem 1,  $(f - g)^2$  is the zero function, meaning that  $f = g$ . ■

**Written problem 5:** Assume that  $f$  and  $g$  are integrable on  $[a, b]$ . Define  $D_f$ ,  $D_g$ , and  $D_{fg}$  to be the discontinuity sets of  $f$ ,  $g$ , and  $fg$  respectively. By the Lebesgue criterion,  $D_f$  and  $D_g$  have measure 0. Also, recall that if  $f$  and  $g$  are both continuous at some  $c$ , then  $fg$  is also continuous at  $c$ . Taking the contrapositive yields:  $fg$  discontinuous at  $c \implies f$  discontinuous at  $c$  or  $g$  discontinuous at  $c$ . This means that  $c \in D_{fg} \implies c \in (D_f \cup D_g)$ , i.e.  $D_{fg} \subseteq D_f \cup D_g$ .

Now, choose any  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $D_f$  and  $D_g$  are measure zero, there exist countable sets of open intervals  $I_1, I_2, \dots$  and  $J_1, J_2, \dots$  such that  $D_f \subseteq \bigcup I_n$ ,  $D_g \subseteq \bigcup J_n$ , and the sums of the lengths of the  $I_n$  and the lengths of the  $J_n$  are each less than  $\epsilon/2$ . But then we can just take the countable collection of intervals  $I_1, J_1, I_2, J_2, \dots$ ; the union contains  $D_f \cup D_g$  and so contains  $D_{fg}$ , and the sum of the lengths is less than  $\epsilon/2 + \epsilon/2 = \epsilon$ . We have then shown that  $D_{fg}$  has measure zero. Then, by the Lebesgue criterion,  $fg$  is integrable on  $[a, b]$ .

■