

Selected solutions for MATH 4280 Assignment 5

**16.** Suppose that  $f \in L^+(X, \mathcal{M})$  and that  $\int f \, d\mu < \infty$ . Then, for each  $n$ , define the set  $E_n = \{x : f(x) > n\} = f^{-1}((n, \infty))$  and the function  $f_n = f\chi_{E_n}$ . Then,  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n = \{x : f(x) < \infty\}$ , and we know by a previous exercise that  $\mu(E^c) = 0$ . Therefore, the pointwise limit of  $f_n$  is  $f\chi_E$ , which equals  $f$   $\mu$ -a.e. Also, since  $E_1 \subseteq E_2 \subseteq \dots$ , the functions  $f_n$  are increasing in  $n$ , and so we can apply the Monotone Convergence Theorem to see that

$$\int f_n \, d\mu \rightarrow \int f \, d\mu.$$

Then by definition of convergence, for every  $\epsilon$ , there exists  $n$  so that

$$\int f_n \, d\mu = \int_{E_n} f \, d\mu > \int f \, d\mu - \epsilon.$$

Finally, we note that  $n\chi_{E_n} \leq f$ , and so by monotonicity,

$$\int n\chi_{E_n} \, d\mu = n\mu(E_n) \leq \int f \, d\mu,$$

implying that  $\mu(E_n) < \infty$  and completing the proof.

**38(b). “Normal” solution:** Suppose  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in measure and that  $g_n \rightarrow g$  in measure. We’ll also assume that all functions are finite  $\mu$ -a.e.; the proof is just slightly more technical if we allow them to take infinite values. We fix  $\epsilon > 0$ . First, we note that

$$|f_n g_n - f g| \leq |f_n g_n - f g_n| + |f g_n - f g| = |g_n| |f_n - f| + |f| |g_n - g|.$$

Therefore,

$$\{x : |f_n g_n - f g| > \epsilon\} \subset \{x : |g_n| |f_n - f| > 0.5\epsilon\} \cup \{x : |f| |g_n - g| > 0.5\epsilon\}.$$

We can then, for any  $M > 0$ , break these sets down further:

$$\{x : |g_n| |f_n - f| > 0.5\epsilon\} \subset \{x : |g_n| > M\} \cup \{x : |f_n - f| > 0.5M^{-1}\epsilon\}$$

and

$$\{x : |f| |g_n - g| > 0.5\epsilon\} \subset \{x : |f| > M\} \cup \{x : |g_n - g| > 0.5M^{-1}\epsilon\}.$$

Our plan of attack is now to take  $M$  so large that the sets on the left have very small measure, and then to take  $n$  so large (dependent on  $M$ ) so that the sets on the right have very small measure. However, there is a problem: in theory, our  $M$  which gives  $\{x : |g_n| > M\}$  small measure depends on  $n$ , which would cause circular dependencies.

Note that the sets  $G_n := \{x : |g| > n\}$  are decreasing, and their intersection is  $\{x : |g| = \infty\}$ , a null set. Therefore, for any  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $M'$  so that  $\mu(G_{M'-1}) < 0.125\delta$ . But, then by convergence in measure of the functions  $g_n$ , there exists  $N'$  so that for any  $n > N'$ ,  $\mu(\{x : |g_n - g| > 1\}) < 0.125\delta$ . We now note that

$$\{x : |g_n| > M'\} \subset \{x : |g| > M' - 1\} \cup \{x : |g - g_n| > 1\},$$

and so for ALL  $n > N'$ ,  $\mu(x : |g_n| > M') < 0.125\delta + 0.125\delta = 0.25\delta$ . Similarly, choose  $M''$  so that  $\mu(\{x : |f| > M''\}) < 0.25\delta$ . Then, take  $M = \max(M', M'')$ . By the fact that  $f_n \rightarrow f$  in measure, there exists  $N''$  so that  $n > N'' \Rightarrow \mu(\{x : |f_n - f| > 0.5M^{-1}\epsilon\}) < 0.25\delta$ . Similarly, there exists  $N'''$  so that  $n > N''' \Rightarrow \mu(\{x : |g_n - g| > 0.5M^{-1}\epsilon\}) < 0.25\delta$ . Take  $N = \max(N', N'', N''')$ . Now, finally, we see that for any  $n > N$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(\{x : |f_n g_n - fg| > \epsilon\}) &\leq \mu(\{x : |g_n| > M\}) + \mu(\{x : |f_n - f| > 0.5M^{-1}\epsilon\}) \\ &\quad + \mu(\{x : |f| > M\}) + \mu(\{x : |g_n - g| > 0.5M^{-1}\epsilon\}) = \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\delta > 0$  is arbitrary, this shows that  $f_n g_n \rightarrow fg$  in measure.

**Terry's really cool solution:** Choose any increasing sequence  $n_k$  of natural numbers. Since  $\{f_n\}$  converges to  $f$  in measure, the same is true of  $\{f_{n_k}\}$ . Therefore, there is a subsequence  $\{n_{k_m}\}$  s.t.  $f_{n_{k_m}} \rightarrow f$   $\mu$ -a.e. Then, since  $\{g_n\}$  converges to  $g$  in measure, so does  $\{g_{n_{k_m}}\}$ . Therefore, there is a subsequence  $\{n_{k_{m_j}}\}$  so that  $g_{n_{k_{m_j}}} \rightarrow g$   $\mu$ -a.e. Since  $f_{n_{k_{m_j}}} \rightarrow f$   $\mu$ -a.e., the same is true for the subsequence  $\{f_{n_{k_{m_j}}}\}$ .

Now, since  $\{f_{n_{k_{m_j}}}\}$  and  $\{g_{n_{k_{m_j}}}\}$  converge to  $f$  and  $g$   $\mu$ -a.e. (respectively), clearly  $f_{n_{k_{m_j}}} g_{n_{k_{m_j}}} \rightarrow fg$   $\mu$ -a.e. (everywhere except on the union of the two null sets where convergence does not happen for the individual sequences.) Since  $\mu(X) < \infty$ , this implies that  $f_{n_{k_{m_j}}} g_{n_{k_{m_j}}} \rightarrow fg$  in measure as well.

But now, we've shown that for any subsequence  $\{f_{n_k} g_{n_k}\}$  of  $f_n g_n$ , there exists a further subsequence  $\{f_{n_{k_{m_j}}} g_{n_{k_{m_j}}}\}$  which converges to  $fg$  in measure. Since convergence in measure is described by the metric  $\rho$  (see problem 32), this implies that  $f_n g_n \rightarrow fg$  in measure!

If that last sentence wasn't convincing, here's a formal justification: assume for a contradiction that  $f_n g_n \not\rightarrow fg$ . Then there exists  $\epsilon > 0$  and a subsequence  $\{f_{n_k} g_{n_k}\}$  s.t.  $\rho(f_{n_k} g_{n_k}, fg) \geq \epsilon$  for all  $k$ . But by the above, there's a subsequence of  $n_k$  along which  $\rho(f_{n_k} g_{n_k}, fg)$  approaches 0, contradiction!